Voted for candidates.

Voters were asked to tell which—liberal or preferential—(in this case) they will cast their ballots Monday. Biographical and opinion questioning were sent to the candidates by the Citizens League. Opinions were published in the candidates' own words.

Policy options were not requested of can-

To using the Voters Guide, voters are asked to keep the following in mind:

\[ \text{Inns are counted by a statistician.} \]

\[ \text{Questions and park commissioners were asked to tell with which—liberal or preferential—(in this case) they will cast their ballots Monday. Biographical and opinion questioning were sent to the candidates by the Citizens League. Opinions were published in the candidates' own words.} \]

To using the Voters Guide, voters are asked to keep the following in mind:

\[ \text{Inns are counted by a statistician.} \]

\[ \text{Questions and park commissioners were asked to tell with which—liberal or preferential—(in this case) they will cast their ballots Monday. Biographical and opinion questioning were sent to the candidates by the Citizens League. Opinions were published in the candidates' own words.} \]

To using the Voters Guide, voters are asked to keep the following in mind:

\[ \text{Inns are counted by a statistician.} \]

\[ \text{Questions and park commissioners were asked to tell with which—liberal or preferential—(in this case) they will cast their ballots Monday. Biographical and opinion questioning were sent to the candidates by the Citizens League. Opinions were published in the candidates' own words.} \]
Questions Asked Candidates for Board of Education

FRANK E. ADAMS

1. Tax levy—The 1956 budget has not been set up yet. but we believe the levy
necessary has not yet been established. It is impossible to determine the exact amount of millage needed at this time.

2. Pay—Since school board directors are an independent policy-making body, I feel that they, and their staff, have the responsibility to act on the question of salaries.

3. Mass education—Our present education system is geared to take care of all the boys and girls in the city of Minneapolis. The handicapped have special classes and remedial classes. But they cannot make normal progress. Our policy is to try to help all children become better citizens, and since all children are different and differ in terms of tomorrow I don't feel that it is necessary to recommend any drastic changes.

4. Teachers—This is a national problem as we are facing with a rising school population and a shortage of teachers. Adequate salaries to meet competition will help to encourage young people to go into the teaching profession.

5. Revenues—A new source of revenue is needed, and the city-wide enthusiasm for the school tax levy is the logical thing. The board of education has long felt that no single tax would cover all the needs of the school. However, it seems to be the only way to raise the needed funds.

MRS. WILLIAM HENRY

1. Tax levy—Perhaps the School Board has been too slow in the logical time. The board of education's explanation for this delay is that the decision of how much more is needed is still being made, and that the money needed is still being debated.

2. Pay—Since the school board is responsible for the salary, it should be determined by the board, and not by the state. The board should be free to determine the salary as it sees fit.

3. Mass education—Our present education system is geared to take care of all the boys and girls in the city of Minneapolis. The handicapped have special classes and remedial classes. But they cannot make normal progress. Our policy is to try to help all children become better citizens, and since all children are different and differ in terms of tomorrow I don't feel that it is necessary to recommend any drastic changes.

4. Teachers—This is a national problem as we are facing with a rising school population and a shortage of teachers. Adequate salaries to meet competition will help to encourage young people to go into the teaching profession.

5. Revenues—A new source of revenue is needed, and the city-wide enthusiasm for the school tax levy is the logical thing. The board of education has long felt that no single tax would cover all the needs of the school. However, it seems to be the only way to raise the needed funds.

ANKET L. LESE, Sr.

1. Tax levy—Before asking for a property tax increase, I believe there should be more study, and more public discussion, of revenue possibilities, with a thorough exploration of new sources of revenue to relieve the property tax and to find a solution to the problem. We should also consider the possibility of obtaining aid from the federal government.

2. Pay—There has always been my contention that this most certainly the duty of the school board directors and not of the state. We should be independent.

3. Mass education—We are living in a society that is as functional as it is possible, and our education system must be able to meet this demand. Our school is not a place for the privileged, but for all. Our school is not a place for the rich, but for all. Our school is not a place for the privileged, but for all.

4. Teachers—Both the national and the local professional associations should be true to the nation. According to national figures, half our college graduates would have to go into teaching if the schools are adequately staffed.

5. Revenues—I believe a new source of revenue is needed, and the city-wide enthusiasm for the school tax levy is the logical thing. The board of education has long felt that no single tax would cover all the needs of the school. However, it seems to be the only way to raise the needed funds.

ANKET L. LESE, Sr.

1. Tax levy—Before asking for a property tax increase, I believe there should be more study, and more public discussion, of revenue possibilities, with a thorough exploration of new sources of revenue to relieve the property tax and to find a solution to the problem. We should also consider the possibility of obtaining aid from the federal government.

2. Pay—There has always been my contention that this most certainly the duty of the school board directors and not of the state. We should be independent.

3. Mass education—We are living in a society that is as functional as it is possible, and our education system must be able to meet this demand. Our school is not a place for the privileged, but for all. Our school is not a place for the rich, but for all. Our school is not a place for the privileged, but for all.

4. Teachers—Both the national and the local professional associations should be true to the nation. According to national figures, half our college graduates would have to go into teaching if the schools are adequately staffed.

5. Revenues—A new source of revenue is needed, and the city-wide enthusiasm for the school tax levy is the logical thing. The board of education has long felt that no single tax would cover all the needs of the school. However, it seems to be the only way to raise the needed funds.
**Questions Asked Candidates for Library Board**

**1. BUILDING—Do you favor building a new main library building? If so, do you favor the proposed site between Nicollet and Hennepin avenues, from Third to Fourth streets?**

**ERICSSON**—Yes. I favor the proposed site between Nicollet and Hennepin avenues from Third to Fourth streets. I judge from the results of the survey taken by the city planning commission; a library in the proposed civic center should be used by about five times as many people as the present library. Furthermore, I believe this should be the position of any member of any library board, also the library is and should be available source of information for the public.

**MCDONALD**—I am unable to oppose the building in any form because I believe in the free flow of ideas. Furthermore, I believe this should be the position of any member of any library board, also the library is and should be an available source of information for the public.

**2. Saturday—Branch libraries should be opened six days a week unless patronage falls below a certain point.**

**ERICSSON**—No. Pay—Independently determined, but patterns established by council should be used as a guide.

**MCDONALD**—Yes, I favor the proposed site between Nicollet and Hennepin avenues, from Third to Fourth streets. To judge from the results of the survey taken by the city planning commission, a library in the proposed civic center should be used by about five times as many people as the present library.

**3. Censorship—I am unilaterally opposed to censorship in any form because I believe in the free flow of ideas. Furthermore, I believe this should be the position of any member of any library board, also the library is and should be an available source of information for the public.**

**ERICSSON**—I believe the branch libraries should be open when the public can use them most conveniently. I do not believe, however, that this public interest should be served with a total disregard of the just claim of library personnel to a five-day week, which is now generally accepted as normal and proper. Budgetary limitations must be considered. Whether or not the interests of both the public and the library personnel can be served by Saturday closings or by another plan should be the subject of careful analysis and decision.

**4. Pay—It seems to me a matter of course that the jobs should have like pay.**

Therefore, it naturally follows that non-professional library personnel should be paid salaries as are paid to other city employees in comparable jobs.

**MELVIN W. PONTO**

1. Building—Yes.

2. Censorship—I am in favor of a censoring board located. I can see no valid reason to allow the sale, rental or exhibition of obscene or filthy literature.

3. Saturday—I feel the libraries should be open when they will be used by the most people. A study should be made and if there is insufficient demand they should be opened on Saturday.

4. Pay—I feel salary scales should be determined by a comparison with salaries in other localities and also should be tied in with the cost of living index.

---

**Vote for One**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

55, married, stepson, B.A. degree, University of Minnesota; M. in Arch., degree, Harvard, married; member in architectural, firm, architect with government agency and broadcasting system; secretary, Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Minnesota Society of Architects; Citizens League; president, treasurer, director, Alpha Phi Alpha; Citizens League; Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Minneapolis chapter, Minnesota Society of Professional Engineers.

62, married; factory group supervisor, prev. music instructor, playground superintendant; supervisor for three months; trustee, school committees and steamers, local 1145; 8th Ward AFL, W.I.V. Auxiliary, Eastern Star, Clinton, F.T.A.; labor representative, Citizens' Committee for Parks Board Reference, Citizens' Law Committee on School Boundaries for Secondary Education; Crisp's Children's association; ground observer corps; National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

---

**Library Director**

**Four-year Term**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Angelus E. Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minneapolis chapter and auxiliary. Minneapolis chapter, Minnesota Society of Professional Engineers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52, married; stepson, B.A. degree, University of Minnesota; M. in Arch., degree, Harvard, married; member in architectural, firm, architect with government agency and broadcasting system; secretary, Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Citizens League; president, treasurer, director, Alpha Phi Alpha; Citizens League; Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Minneapolis chapter, Minnesota Society of Professional Engineers.

62, married; factory group supervisor, prev. music instructor, playground superintendant; supervisor for three months; trustee, school committees and steamers, local 1145; 8th Ward AFL, W.I.V. Auxiliary, Eastern Star, Clinton, F.T.A.; labor representative, Citizens' Committee for Parks Board Reference, Citizens' Law Committee on School Boundaries for Secondary Education; Crisp's Children's association; ground observer corps; National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.

---

**Vote for Two**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ericsson, Bernard E.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Friends of the Public Library committee.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

62, single; navy veteran; employed by landscape architect, interest in publishing company, retail, local newspaper, canvas taker, stock clerk; library board years; Commonwealth club, American Legion, Veterans Federation, Baseball, Consumer Coalition, commercial clubs.

---

**Library Director**

**Six-year Term**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Angelus E. Paul</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minneapolis chapter and auxiliary. Minneapolis chapter, Minnesota Society of Professional Engineers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

52, married; stepson, B.A. degree, University of Minnesota; M. in Arch., degree, Harvard, married; member in architectural, firm, architect with government agency and broadcasting system; secretary, Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Citizens League; president, treasurer, director, Alpha Phi Alpha; Citizens League; Minnesota chapter, American Institute of Architects; Minneapolis chapter, Minnesota Society of Professional Engineers.

62, married; factory group supervisor, prev. music instructor, playground superintendant; supervisor for three months; trustee, school committees and steamers, local 1145; 8th Ward AFL, W.I.V. Auxiliary, Eastern Star, Clinton, F.T.A.; labor representative, Citizens' Committee for Parks Board Reference, Citizens' Law Committee on School Boundaries for Secondary Education; Crisp's Children's association; ground observer corps; National Association for the Advancement of Colored People.
Minneapolis to Vote on 2 Amendments

Minneapolis voters Monday will have their second chance in nine months to indicate their attitude on aldermen's terms. Presently all 13 council seats are filled at once for two years. If proposed amendment 14 is approved by 60 per cent of the votes casting ballots on the question, terms will be changed to four years.

Seven aldermen (from odd-numbered wards) would be elected for four-year terms in 1959, the other half-dozen would be elected in 1959, putting a system of staggered terms into effect.

Amendment 15, also to be voted upon Monday, would change the municipal election day from Monday to Tuesday.

About 20 organizations have endorsed both proposals. Among them are the city council itself, various Republican and DFL groups, the Chamber of Commerce, Citizens League of Minneapolis and Hennepin County League of Women Voters and the Hennepin County CIO council. Irregular Brotherhoods and the AFL Teamsters Joint council.

Amendment 14 has drawn opposition from the AFL Central Labor union, central body of Minneapolis trade unions. Nearly 54 per cent voted in favor of four-year terms at a special election last September. But the 53,614 yes votes fell short of 60 per cent by 613. 459 yes votes were 6,522.

Vote for One
Candidate
HANSEN, AL
1500 Saint avenue N.
MARRIED; two children; board of estimate and taxation, 14 years, city comptroller, 6 years.

TURNER, GRANT R.
4995 Thirteenth avenue S.
47, married, child 2, grocery owner, director, Minneapolis Retail Grocery association; Retail Meat Dealers association, Mason, Shriner, Eastern Star, YMCA; Roosevelt branch, most civil union; president of brotherhood, Lake Nomadic church; advertising committee, Fairway Foods, Inc.

COMPTROLLER
Two-year Term
Endorsements
HANSEN, AL
MARRIED; two children; board of estimate and taxation, 14 years, city comptroller, 6 years.

TURNER, GRANT R.
4995 Thirteenth avenue S.
47, married, child 2, grocery owner, director, Minneapolis Retail Grocery association; Retail Meat Dealers association, Mason, Shriner, Eastern Star, YMCA; Roosevelt branch, most civil union; president of brotherhood, Lake Nomadic church; advertising committee, Fairway Foods, Inc.

Vote for One
Candidate
MILLER, GILDERY E.
3742 Abbott avenue S.
60, single, city treasurer since 1928. Appointed by council that year, elected 1934, 1938, 1942, 1946.

TREASURER
Two-year Term
Endorsements
MILLER, GILDERY E.
3742 Abbott avenue S.
60, single, city treasurer since 1928. Appointed by council that year, elected 1934, 1938, 1942, 1946.

Vote for One
Candidate
WASSERMAN, SOLOMON
1201 Upton avenue N.
46, married, children 5, 8, 11, B.S. degree in education and LLB, University of Minnesota; army sergeant; former teacher Fairmount, N.D. Laundry, and West High evening law school, law instructor Minneapolis-Minnesota College of Law; now practicing attorney; admitted New York bar; was North high school veterinarian; Blue Order, Jewish War veterans, DAY, Hennepin County and Minnesota State Bar association, Beth El 50th, Jewish National Association of Claimants' Compensation Attorneys.

MUNICIPAL JUDGE
Six-year Term
Endorsements
WASSERMAN, SOLOMON
1201 Upton avenue N.
46, married, children 5, 8, 11, B.S. degree in education and LLB, University of Minnesota; army sergeant; former teacher Fairmount, N.D. Laundry, and West High evening law school, law instructor Minneapolis-Minnesota College of Law; now practicing attorney; admitted New York bar; was North high school veterinarian; Blue Order, Jewish War veterans, DAY, Hennepin County and Minnesota State Bar association, Beth El 50th, Jewish National Association of Claimants' Compensation Attorneys.

Duties of Minneapolis Municipal Officials

Mayor
Chief executive of the city; civil defense director; veto power over ordinances and resolutions of the City council; supervisory authority over the police department; ex-officio member of five city boards and commissions and some state authorized agencies; appoints his secretary and police superintendent with council consent. Salary $11,260.

City Comptroller
City's accounting and auditing officer; keeps controlling accounts of all departments, including schools; permits no expenditures unless funds are available; checks all expenditures for legality. Salary $10,000.

Board of Estimate and Taxation
Seven members, two elected at large, plus mayor, comptroller, chairman of council ways and means committee, school and park board representatives. Board sets maximum tax rates within charter limits for all city agencies except schools; paints an overall picture; provides form of the city budget; levies taxes for own maintenance within charter limits; appoints secretary under civil service. Salary $30 per meeting up to $500 a year.

School Board
Seven directors elected at large. Board adopts school budget; levies taxes within charter limits; expends federal, state and county aide; appoints superintendent of schools through whom it operates school system.

Library Board
Nine directors, six elected at large, plus the mayor, school board president and president of the University of Minnesota. Board acquires, operates and maintains a central library, branch libraries and a science museum; levies taxes within board of estimate and taxation limits; appoints chief librarian. Salary: none.

Park Board
Fifteen commissioners—13 elected (one from each of the eight legislative districts in Minneapolis, and four at large), plus the mayor and two aldermen. Board enacts ordinances governing use of parks and playgrounds; acquires, maintains and improves parks, playgrounds, and municipal golf courses; directs organization and operations of recreation programs; provides for police of parks; properties and maintenance of street trees; levies taxes within board of estimate and taxation limits; appoints park superintendent. Salary: none.

City Council
Thirteen aldermen, one elected from each ward. Chief governing body; elects city ordinance; levies taxes within board of estimate and taxation limits; allocates federal and state revenues for financing general government, fire, police, health, welfare, streets, lighting, etc.; passes on any requests made of estimate board for bonds; makes appropriations and regulations for administration of city business; appoints certain city administrative officials and names representatives to certain boards and commissions. Salary: $5,000 annually, but recent legislature gave council permission to raise it to $7,000.

In Wednesday's VOTER'S GUIDE: Aldermanic Candidates

Save VOTER'S GUIDES and VOTE MONDAY
**Candidates Listed for 8 City Wards**

By JOHN C. MCDONALD

Today's Voter's Guide contains biographical information and answers to opinion questionnaires submitted to the candidates by the City Council in Minneapolis' first eight wards.

**Questions Asked Candidates for City Council**

1. **Alternatives:** Which of these alternatives do you favor? (a) Centralizing administrative responsibility in the mayor? (b) Centralizing administrative responsibility in an official to be appointed by the council? (c) Continuing the responsibility with the council? (d) Some other proposal (what)?
   
2a. **Wages:** Should city employees' wages be the same as wages paid for the same job in private business?
   
2b. **Departure:** Is there any area under which you would favor departing from this policy? What are they?

3. **DEPARTURE:** Do you think there is a need for additional revenue for health and welfare program? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? A higher property tax? Or some other revenue source?

3a. **Gross Earnings Revenue:** Do you think there is a need for additional revenue for municipal capital improvements? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property taxes? Issuing bonds to be repaid by property taxes? Or some other revenue source?

4. **LIQUOR:** Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?

5a. **LOCAL FIRM:** Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submits the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with a local company. Do you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

5b. **LOCAL FIRM:** Suppose a company outside Hennepin County submits the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with a local company? Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

6. **Traffic:** If residents in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineer and traffic engineer to help meet the city's overall traffic problem, what position would you take on the recommendations?

---

### Aldermen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Caucus</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gladys E. Miller</strong>&lt;br&gt;3853 NE; Sixth Street</td>
<td>Married, children 3, child 1, Army veteran; secretary, General Motors, Minneapolis; former Minneapolis Lion's Club chairman, ways and means; secretary Minnesota State PTA; alderman since 1951; member, council-controlled influential; member, Minneapolis city council; member, Labor union; speaker, League of Women Voters</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Central Labor union, 1951, Young Life, A.Y.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Donald L. Erickson</strong>&lt;br&gt;3067 Central Avenue NE</td>
<td>Married, children 3, child 1; Army veteran; fireman, Peer Truck Driver; director, Central Avenue Commercial Club; secretary, Northside Minneapolis Lions Club; chairman, ways and means. Serving council, 1951; member, council-controlled influential; member, Minneapolis city council; member, Labor union; speaker, League of Women Voters</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Central Labor union, 1951, Young Life, A.Y.A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**EUGENE (GENE) STOKOWSKI**

1. **Alternatives:** (c) Council responsibility.

2a. **Wages:** Yes.

2b. **Departure:** Providing the same amount of work as comparable jobs in public business.

3a. **Current operations:** Yes. I favor a gross earnings tax.

3b. **Capital improvements:** Yes. I favor a gross earnings tax.

4. **Liquor:** Not at the present time.

5a. **Unemployment:** No, because I worked by the hour under non-union conditions and I didn't like it.

5b. **Local Firms:** No, because I always patronized the home businessman and although our home businessmen can't succeed, we won't have a city.

6. **Traffic:** Any problems such as those will be taken up in the first ward with a committee of 15 taxpayers if I'm elected. These are "money" problems and should be studied by our local people,

**Map of Minneapolis Wards**

**Don't Forget to Vote in Your City Election Next Monday, June 13**
Questions Asked Candidates for City Council

1. ALTERNATIVES—Which of these alternatives do you favor: (a) controlling administrative responsibility in the mayor? (b) each city department being controlled by a council-appointed special agent? (c) combining the responsibility with the council? (d) some other proposal? (restate if necessary)

2a. WAGES—Should city employee wages be the same as wages paid for comparable jobs in private business?

2b. WAGES—If not, what are the conditions under which you favor departing from this policy? What are they?

3a. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—Do you think there is a need for additional revenue for current operations of council-controlled functions? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property tax? Some other revenue source?

3b. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—If you answer Yes, morally acceptable, what is your basic reason for rejection?

3c. UNIONIZATION—Should the city recognize the union status of employees?

3d. UNIONIZATION—Do you believe the city should adopt policies which will make their unionization easier?

4a. WAGES—Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?

4b. UNIONIZATION—Suppose a non-unionized company submits the low bid next meeting for services which had been awarded to a unionized company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

5a. LOCAL FIRM—Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submits the low bid for services which had been awarded to a Hennepin county company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

6. TRAFFIC—If residents in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineer and traffic engineer to help meet traffic problems. If so, do you favor the changes?

Vote for One

Candidate

John A. Pierro

Youngdahl, Kent E.

2a. WAGES—Should city employee wages be the same as wages paid for comparable jobs in private business?

2b. WAGES—If not, what are the conditions under which you favor departing from this policy? What are they?

3a. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—Do you think there is a need for additional revenue for current operations of council-controlled functions? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property tax? Some other revenue source?

3b. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—If you answer Yes, morally acceptable, what is your basic reason for rejection?

3c. UNIONIZATION—Should the city recognize the union status of employees?

3d. UNIONIZATION—Do you believe the city should adopt policies which will make their unionization easier?

4a. WAGES—Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?

4b. UNIONIZATION—Suppose a non-unionized company submits the low bid next meeting for services which had been awarded to a unionized company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

5a. LOCAL FIRM—Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submits the low bid for services which had been awarded to a Hennepin county company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

6. TRAFFIC—If residents in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineer and traffic engineer to help meet traffic problems. If so, do you favor the changes?

Vote for One

Candidate

JAROS, LOUIS J.

WOLINSKI, FRANK W.

3a. WAGES—Should city employee wages be the same as wages paid for comparable jobs in private business?

3b. WAGES—If not, what are the conditions under which you favor departing from this policy? What are they?

3c. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—Do you think there is a need for additional revenue for current operations of council-controlled functions? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property tax? Some other revenue source?

3d. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—If you answer Yes, morally acceptable, what is your basic reason for rejection?

4a. WAGES—Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?

4b. UNIONIZATION—Suppose a non-unionized company submits the low bid next meeting for services which had been awarded to a unionized company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

5a. LOCAL FIRM—Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submits the low bid for services which had been awarded to a Hennepin county company. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

6. TRAFFIC—If residents in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineer and traffic engineer to help meet traffic problems. If so, do you favor the changes?
Vote for One

Candidate
Nelson, Robert (Bob)

4th Ward

Personal Background and Experience

Pay on sources.

Two-Year Term

Endorsements

Progressive

Vote for Two-Year Term

Aldermen

Nelson, Robert (Bob)

4. Local firm.—Yes. In order

to hold down taxes, we must all

pay on sources.

short, Jorgen

3. Capital improvements.

—Yes.

4. Liquor.—What’s good for

the people of Minneapolis as a

whole will also be good for

the people in the South Ward.

Jorgenson, Jorgen

3. Current operations.

—No.

Vote for One

Candidate

5th Ward

Personal Background and Experience

HENRY H. BANK

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

2. Current operations.—Yes.

Endorsements

Liberal

Central Labor union

Vote for One

Candidate

H. P. (Red)

3rd Ward

Personal Background and Experience

liberal.

3. Liquor.—Since I have

been in the city council,

there have been contro-

versial issues. However,

I would favor any changes

which would definitely

improve present practices.

2. Unionized.—I favor

organized labor and home

inmates.

Vote for One

Candidate

Jorgenson, Orrville I.

6th Ward

Personal Background and Experience

2. Liquor.—Since I have been

in the city council, there

have been controversial

issues. However, I would

favor any changes which

would definitely improve

present practices.

2. Unionized.—I favor

organized labor and home

inmates.

Endorsements

Liberal

Central Labor union, railroad

brotherhoods

Jorgenson, Orrville I.

6th Ward

Personal Background and Experience

Liberal

Central Labor union, railroad

brotherhoods

ORVILLE I. JORGENSON

1. Alternative.—I think it

would be a desirable change.

Centralizing administrative

responsibility in the mayor

would make our local govern-

ment similar to our national

and state governments. This

would mean much more re-

sponsibility for the mayor

and he would have to answer

to the people.

2. Liquor.—I favor

organized labor and home

inmates.

1. Alternative.—I think it

would be a desirable change.

Centralizing administrative

responsibility in the mayor

would make our local govern-

ment similar to our national

and state governments. This

would mean much more re-

sponsibility for the mayor

and he would have to answer

to the people.

2. Liquor.—I favor

organized labor and home

inmates.

Continued on Page Four
6th Ward

ministerial decisions, the vote counted, a better position to pass judgment at the

5a. Wages—The city is obligated
to pay the prevailing wage.

as a ministerial unit representing working people, the city of Minneapolis
has a responsibility to set
an example for the private industry
in the maintenance of good wages and working con-
ditions.

5b. Departure — I don't know of any conditions when the city should depart from
the city's wage clause. The recurring
problem is insufficient funds to solve at the expense of the workers' pay checks.

5c. Current operations — We should be
sufficiently paid in the city. The city
must pay the correct amount for the work done in the city. This is a matter of
insufficient funds. Our problems must be
solved at the expense of the

5d. Capital improvements—

It is necessary for the growth of the city.

If the city continues its present

plan, over a period of several years, a 25 or 50 mil-

lion dollar investment in

is necessary for the city to proceed with its

City managers.

6. Liquor—Our present li-

censing procedures are method-

ically among the strictest in the
country. Because the number of licenses is limited by law the human element


7th Ward

Vote for One

Candidate

Personal Background and Experience

Endorsements

HILEY, ROY J, (D)
705 S. Cedar Lake road
53, married, children 12 and 5; chemical inspector, prov, in drug business; alderman, 20 years; Glenwood Civic League; Navy Women Improvement association.

Progressive

CARLE, NORMAN R
2413 Grand avenue S.
49, married, three children; navy and marine; secretary-building Service employees; alderman 2 years; American Legion, St. Paul (Navy) association, Christians and Jews Home-
table, American Psychiatric society; United Labor team, past chairman police and fire man's executive board, member our city's street car
sanitation, street railways and buses, and ordinances and legislation committee.

Liberal

Two-year Term

Central Labor Union, business and professional groups.

Romeo J. Riley

1. Alternatives — (a) Leave-

allocation of responsibility to the city council (b) special

Romeo E. Carle

1. Alternatives — (c) Council

responsibility.

2a. Wages—Yes.

2b. Departure—Where en-

trapment requirements, working conditions and fringe benefits

are different from private em-

ployment.

3a. Current operations—Yes.

We face a projected tax base

other than on property

the city can afford to afford.

3b. Capital improvements—

Yes. Same as 3a.

4. Liquor— I favor any
carrier, which would elimi-
nate alcohol and at the same time not impose excess burden.

5a. Wages—Yes.

5b. Departure — We are

in a very difficult position.

6. Liquor— I favor any

carrier, which would elimi-
nate alcohol and at the same time not impose excess burden.

Riley

Carle

7th Ward

Vote for One

Candidate

Personal Background and Experience

Endorsements

HALL, WALTER G.
1956 Third avenue S.
"JOHNSON, GEORGE E.
2011 Portland avenue
56, married, children 8 and 10; air force veteran; lumber merchant, prov, ac-

49, married, three children; marine and navy; secretary-building Service employees; alderman 2 years; American Legion, St. Paul (Navy) association, Christians and Jews Home-
table, American Psychiatric society; United Labor team, past chairman police and fire man's executive board, member our city's street car
sanitation, street railways and buses, and ordinances and legislation committee.

Progressive

Two-year Term

Central Labor Union, business and professional groups.

G. Johnson

Holl

partment head for whom the materials are being pur-

Two-year Term

Business, church, interdenominational, labor, veterans, foreign aid, Central Labor union

None

None

Thursday's Voter's Guide: Aldermanic Candidates in Wards 9-13 and Park Board Candidates
Questions Asked Candidates for City Council

1. **ALTERNATIVES**—Which of these alternatives do you favor: (a) centralizing administrative responsibility in the mayor? (b) centralizing administrative responsibility in an official to be appointed by the council? (c) continuing the responsibility with the council? (d) some other proposal (what?)

2a. **WAGES**—Should city employees' wages be the same as wages paid for comparable jobs in private business?

2b. **DEPARTURES**—If so, are there any conditions under which you would favor departing from this policy? What are they?

3a. **CURRENT OPERATIONS**—Do you think there is need for additional revenue for current operations of council-controlled functions? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property tax? Issuing bonds to be repaid by property taxes? Or some other revenue source?

3b. **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS**—Do you think there is a need for (a) additional revenue for capital improvements? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax? City sales tax? Additional property tax? Issuing bonds to be repaid by property taxes? Or some other revenue source?

4. **VOTES**—Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?

5a. **UNIONIZED**—Suppose a non-unionized company submitted the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with unionized companies. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

5b. **LOCAL FIRM**—Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submitted the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with Hennepin county companies. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)

6. **TRAFFIC**—If you reside in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineers and traffic engineers to help to meet the city's overall traffic problem, what position would you take on the recommendations?

---

**Vote for One Candidate**

**9th Ward**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Caucus</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FREDERICK W. ABRAMSON</td>
<td>20. Married, children 3 and 2, oldest minority at Veterans; air force veteran; funeral director, Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce, Twinmaster; secretary, vice president, board; directors association; secretary and chairman, religious activities committee, Junior Chamber of Commerce; past commander, American Legion, past commander, Minnesota Christian Business Men's committee; First Covenant church.</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTIN W. GEORGE</td>
<td>40. Married; army veteran; sailor; six years; gov. bakery salesmen; American Legion, Mason, Central Labor Union, DFL, FW, Moose.</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Vote for One Candidate**

**10th Ward**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Caucus</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSON, RICHARD K.</td>
<td>35. Married, children 9, 8, 10 and 12; navy veteran; pleater tender, prev. bus driver; Dem. club; Com. board.</td>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>Central Labor Union, DFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULHOLLAND, FRANK W.</td>
<td>26. Married, 4 sons; college; oldest, 12 years; past council representative, metropolitan airport commission; past president, board of estimate and taxation; county tax levy board, metropolitan planning board, traffic board.</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Vote for One Candidate**

**11th Ward**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate</th>
<th>Personal Background and Experience</th>
<th>Caucus</th>
<th>Endorsements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RICHARD K. JOHNSON</td>
<td>35. Married, children 3, 2, 1; prev. police; prev. altar boy, prev. city employee; private industry.</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSON, GEORGE</td>
<td>35. Married, children 3, 2, 1; prev. police; prev. altar boy, prev. city employee; private industry.</td>
<td>Progressive</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
JAMES P. CONWAY

1. Alternatives—(c) Council responsibility. I believe if the people of the 11th ward, the city of Minneapolis as a whole are going to elect their alderman who can devote all of their time to being councilman encountered by any other type of business man, then we can safely continue administrative responsibility in the city council.

2a. Wage—Yes. It is not the case to present higher caliber employee must not pay the prevailing wage.

2b. Departure—Under present conditions, I would favor departure from this position.

3a. Current operations—Yes; however, I do not feel the only solution is by raising the additional taxes. Instead, the council members should do everything in their power to try to get a larger return to the cities of the tax dollars paid to the state of Minnesota. Also, the council members should take steps to ensure areas favorable to the holding companies as we will continue to have payroll and the resulting taxes.

3b. Capital improvements—Yes. Here also we do not have to look for additional taxes to avoid the need for revenue. If we put off all the located indebted and retire it more slowly than we have, this will result in additional revenue without raising taxes in any way, shape, form or manner.

4. Liquor—Yes. I believe the proposal as submitted by the Minneapolis Chamber of Commerce would be a step in the right direction in lifting the bond. No person of passage of such a proposal should have the bombshell. Maybe you should be used in the role of victors.

5. Unilaw—I would have to have more knowledge about this than a general case. I would recommend that the city council should be used in the role of the corporation, etc. If it fails to get the contract to an unconcealed firm or also a firm that may be on the brink of folding up.

6. Local firm—Here again I would like to offer specific information before giving an answer. However, the question of bids should come up before the council. I should be a member of the situation similar to the bids received on manual covers made for the county. Industry have put in the ranks of the unorganized while an outside firm put the work for $14 a mandate difference in price. I would not throw local industry out of work for such a small one.

6. Traffic—I have a great amount of faith in the city librarian against the abler, and I can't conceive of these men prophesying any thing not with in accord with the public's benefit. I would be inclined to go along with those who are the care of these affairs. However, if the opposition are in accord to say I would do what everything within my power and to the best of my ability to resolve property taxes. For a city with one of the highest property tax rates, capital improvements funds from state, federal and county sources will this year be augmented by $5,900,000 of city funds. If we stick to essentials and eliminate Ellefson and extravagances, this will accomplish considerable improvement. Non property taxes can be authorized only by public vote or by the legislature.

3a. Unilaw—To depart for any reason from "low bid meeting specifications" is un sound policy and dangerous practice. Besides increasing costs it provides aids and subsidies for manipulating purchases for political reasons. It could cover up evils such as graft, bribery or "contributions."

8b. Local firm—Certainly. Besides the reasons cited immediately above it would not avail Minneapolis interests to incite retaliatory boycotts by other cities.

8. Traffic—This question disregards the fundamental principle of the state constitution. Further, it does not set forth that the Legislative or executive branch is able to make a finding of fact.

1. Are the engineers in failure?

2. Is there a benefit to the traffic problem minor or major?

3. Are the residents more or less likely to pay property values?

After these points were cleared up, I would still have to rely on what I like to think is good judgment and a sense of justice.
Aldermen (Continued)

Questions Asked Candidates for City Council

1. ALTERNATIVES—Which of these alternatives do you favor: (a) centralizing administrative responsibility in the mayor? (b) centralizing administrative responsibility in an official to be appointed by the council? (c) continuing the responsibility with the council? (d) some other proposal (what)?
2. WAGES—Should city employees' wages be the same as wages paid by other employers? Why or why not? What position would you take on the recommendations?
3. DEPARTURE—If so, are there any conditions under which you would favor departing from this policy? What are they?
4. CURRENT OPERATIONS—Do you think there is a need for additional revenue from current council-controlled functions? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax, city sales tax, a higher property tax, or some other revenue source?
5. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS—Do you think there is a need for additional capital improvements? If so, do you favor a gross earnings tax, city sales tax, additional property taxes? Issuing bonds to be repaid by property taxes? Or some other revenue source?
6. LIQUOR—Do you favor any changes in liquor and beer licensing procedures? If so, what changes?
7. UNIONIZED—Suppose a nonunionized company submits the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with unionized companies. Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)
8. LOCAL FIRM—Suppose a company outside Hennepin county submits the low bid meeting specifications for a city contract in competition with Hennepin county companies? Would you favor accepting the low bid? (Please explain.)
9. TRAFFIC—If residents in your ward strongly oppose changes in traffic patterns recommended by the city engineer and traffic engineer to help meet the city's overall traffic problem, what position would you take on the recommendations?

Vote for One Candidate

Candidate          Personal Background and Experience          Liberal or Conservative Embroiderings

CARNEY, MRS. JOHN G. 35b. W. 38th Street
41. married, children 12, 14, and 17; B.S. degree, University of Minnesota; home owner; president, Adolph FPA; Southwest High PTA; director, Southwest Activity council; youth order chairman, Southwest Community council; Citizens Committee on Public Education; on church, school, youth, and community boards;
Mrs. Carney is and has been a teacher for 20 years.

MRS. JOHN C. (AUDREY) CARNEY

1. Alternatives—(a) Council responsibility. The Citizens League proposal to make the mayor the probating officer would be considered by me. What will you vote no but will vote present absent in the right direction?
2. Wage—Yes, but with present advice being considered as part of wages.
4. Current operations—Yes, but only if it can be given all possible economies. I personally favor a tax on what one earns rather than on what one spends or owns.
5. Capital improvements—We should explore the possibilities of our sources of revenue should be explored with careful consideration given to the cost of constructing or maintaining such new sources.
6. Capital improvements—Current operation and capital improvement revenue sources should be considered together so that one source is not overworked. The forge range capital improvement committee has been set up at some expense to the citizens to explore both the capital improvement needs and the new source of revenue for these needs. I think the council and citizens should study this report before proceeding.
7. Liquor—The problem seems to be one of complete enforcement of the present laws.
8. Unionized—So long as the invitations for bids are not restricted to unionized companies, the acceptance of low bids should not be restricted either; therefore, my answer is, "Yes." I believe more hours and thought should be spent by the council in understanding specifications before bids are invited as has been the case in the discussion when received.
9. Local firm—I think Hennepin county industries should be encouraged. However, if bids are not received, then I see no reason for acceptance being restricted.
10. Traffic—It seems to me that the city engineer and traffic engineer—along with the aldermen—should have the power to plan the plan, thereby avoiding the embarrassing situation, that if the council resisted they would be successful. But again, if bidders are not restricted, then I see no reason for acceptance being restricted.

STEWARD, NORMA E. 3633 E. 35th Avenue
41. married, children 11, twins 2; LL.B. degree, Minnesota-Minnesota College of Law; army veteran; lawyer, prev. collection manager Marcus A. Co., member, Minnesota County Bar Association, Minnesota State Bar Association.

NORMAN E. STEWARD

1. Alternatives—(a) Responsible mayor.
2. Wage—Yes.
3. Departure—Yes. There should be adequate comparison in private enterprise for our fire and police forces, and much too many comparisons should be considered separately.
4. Liquor—Yes. Agains, I favor a gross earnings tax for the same reasons as stated above.
5. Capital improvement—Yes. Again, I favor a gross earnings tax for the same reasons as stated above.
6. Liquor—Yes. Under our present procedure, liquor and beer licenses are the objects of interest and subject to the approval of the council. This procedure is not conducive to good government. Further study of a licensing commission is advisable. As an interim measure we should have immediate enforcement of our existing laws and ordinances.
7. Unionized—Yes, It is a basic principle of good government that contracts are let on the basis of competitive bidding.
8. Local firm—Yes. For the same reason stated above.
9. Traffic—A good alderman represents the interests of the people in the ward as well as the council. If it appears the recommendations of the city engineer and traffic engineer presents a true long-term solution to the overall traffic problem, not just a property—driven solution, it would be the duty of the alderman to bring to the attention of the people of the ward in order that they might have all the available information on the city's needs related to the ward. However, some proposed solutions to traffic problems are merely expensive last—way measures which actually create new problems, are easy to apply and hard to get rid of, and in the long run, impedes the building of adequate arterial streets.

For Park Board Candidates, See Page 4
Park Board Questions

1. EMPHASIS—Which do you favor for Minneapolis—putting greater emphasis on developing scenic parks and playgrounds, or on developing neighborhood parks and playgrounds?

2. PARKWAYS—Should the heavily-traveled parkways now main-
tained by the park board be transferred to the city engineer's depart-
ment for operation?

3. POLICE—Should the park police now under the park board be
manned by the city police department?

4. SALARIES—Do you believe park board salary scales and salary
changes should follow patterns set by the city council or be independ-
ently determined?

PARK COMMISSIONERS
28th District

Vote for One
Candidate

Personal Background and Experience

KANTOROWICZ, RICHARD (DFl)
150 North Grand street

TOMASZEWSKI, THEO. A.
211 Twenty-third avenue N.

No reply to biographical questionnaires.

RICHARD (DFl) KANTOROWICZ

1. EMPHASIS—My reason for running is that there has been too much emphasis on scenic parks and parkways, in which program my oppo-

ent has acquiesced. The 28th district is composed of wage
earners. In fact, for the most

part own their own homes and raise large families. They have every right to expect a fair share of their tax dollars to be spent to bring

much-needed playground im-

provements to their north-

ern neighborhood.

2. PARKWAYS—These park-

ways should be incorporated in the city street system. This

would permit the park board commissioners to place greater

emphasis on playground develop-

ment at smaller cost and in a

more efficient and orderly

way than is possible now.

3. POLICE—When the park

board is placed under jur-

isdiction there will be good reason to

transfer some of the duties of the park police to the city

department, but I

believe the present

system of park police to be a good one, and would support the

existing organization.

MORRILL, GLENN J., Jr.
225 Nineteenth avenue N.

No reply to questionnaires.

GLENN K. MORRILL, JR.

1. EMPHASIS—This is a ques-

tion that should be de-

cided upon after considering the requirements of the area.

2. PARKWAYS—Yes, Central-

ized parkways should be set

by the city council.

3. POLICE—Yes. The police

should be under the city

council.

PAULSON, DANIEL B.
2624 Twenty-fourth avenue S.

No reply to questionnaires.

DWAYNE H. DAHL

1. EMPHASIS—The develop-

ment of our parks and play-

grounds should be much more func-

tional and purposeful. Pri-

mary emphasis should be given to

the park property to serve.

2. PARKWAYS—Yes, as the

park board now has control over

city streets, these should be

under the city street division.

3. POLICE—Yes. I would

recommend the police

should be self financed

without a separate

salary from the park

commission.

J ohnston, W. J. (Jim)

2045 Sheldon avenue S.

No reply to questionnaires.

PETERSON, KENNETH
(T. R.)

2505 W. Twenty-fifth street

W. J. JOHNSTON

1. EMPHASIS—There are areas in Minneapolis where

playgrounds should take pre-

cedence over parkways, how-

ever the city as a whole requires

a balanced program between parkways and recreation facili-

ties.

2. PARKWAYS—Parkways should be reserved for plea-

sure driving and it is the ob-

ligation of the city as a whole to provide traffic thor-

oughfares.

3. POLICE—The experience

where there is one police de-

partment is that the less

compensated are delegated to

park work, which is not des-

irable. A police parksman should be experienced in work

with juveniles, which can be

more efficiently done by a

special force. In the case of

Minneapolis, the two forces

should be required to coop-

erate in all police matters.

4. SALARIES—All salary scales

and salary changes should follow patterns set by the city

council. A different scale

opens the gates to leverage by

one group upon the other.

J ohnston, W. J. (Jim)

2045 Sheldon avenue S.

No reply to questionnaires.

PETERSON, KENNETH
(T. R.)

2505 W. Twenty-fifth street

No reply to questionnaires.