

Results for Citizens, Options for Officials

REPORT SUMMARY

Public affairs research and education in the Twin Cities metropolitan area **CITIZENS LEAGUE REPORT**

\$

Results for Citizens, Options for Officials

REPORT SUMMARY

Prepared by:

Committee on Organization of Local Government Services: Goals and Structures

Bill Blazar and Larry Bakken, co-chairs

Approved by the Citizens League Board of Directors

June 16, 1992

CITIZENS LEAGUE 708 South 3rd Street Suite 500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415 612/338-0791 FAX: 612/337-5919

REPORT SUMMARY

Local governments in Minnesota are under pressure. Demands for public service continue to grow, while their primary sources of revenue have not kept up. Local governments have often responded by cutting services and raising taxes and fees, thus giving citizens less for more. At the same time, citizens are more concerned about the effectiveness of local services. What is the value received for dollars spent?

For the past 20 years, the Citizens League has been among the voices suggesting there is an alternative, namely to redesign service delivery and government structures. In 1991, the Citizens League convened a study committee to revisit public service design and to examine how local governments organize and deliver their services.

Over a four-month period, we heard from many people who offered their thoughtful perspectives on how local governments organize and deliver services. We saw many good examples of local governments doing things well. Talented managers and far-sighted elected officials work together to launch innovations, create incentives for employees and managers and provide choices to consumers and officials. Individuals and neighborhood groups make smart choices and help guide officials in designing services.

Clearly, though, these things are not happening everywhere. What are the impediments to local governments doing things differently and better? Here are some key barriers:

- Misplaced incentives and motivation. Local officials often work in a system of motivation and reward in which incentives are absent or encourage the wrong result. For example, if officials don't spend their entire budgets by year end, they may not carry over the surplus to future years or invest it to improve service. In some cases, they may see their budget cut.
- Lack of flexibility. Local officials often lack flexibility in identifying their responsibilities and how to best carry them out. This is

seen when the state delegates service responsibilities to local governments in a way that emphasizes complying with a detailed prescription for *how* things are to be done, rather than a shared vision of *what* should be accomplished.

- Lack of evaluation. They may often lack a formal way of measuring and evaluating the outcomes of their services and sharing that information with citizens.
- Lack of opportunities for citizen involvement. Citizens, in turn, lack tools and opportunities to hold their local government accountable and to encourage innovative approaches to service delivery.

RECOMMENDATIONS

CREATE NEW OPTIONS FOR MANAGING SERVICE BUDGETS

We recommend:

Local governments, neighborhoods and individuals should have additional opportunities to manage service budgets as a means of improving service delivery.

Our premise is that, in many cases, dollars now spent for services can be spent in different ways to improve the delivery of services. We assume that the changes would have to be budget-neutral.

In our view, introducing these options, even if they were rarely exercised, would create significant incentives for local officials to improve services because they will want to keep people satisfied within the system.

These new opportunities can take place on several levels. For example:

 Local governments should be given increased options for managing funds now designated for a certain service and controlled by other units of government. The local government, working closely with citizens, would design the service, select the vendor and manage the service budget.

The transit programs developed by Maple Grove and other suburbs provides a good example of how local governments can develop a new relationship with a regional operating agency such as the Metropolitan Transit Commission. The close involvement of citizens helps make the approach successful.

Another example might involve cities receiving a budget with which to buy certain criminal justice services now provided by their local county. Cities might continue to use the local county as the vendor. But, maybe they would see if other counties could provide the services on a more effective or more economical basis.

• *Neighborhood-level organizations* should be given options for managing the funds designated for a certain service, including the authority to design the service and select the vendor.

In past reports, the League has recommended that cities foster the development of neighborhood service delivery models. Now we propose that neighborhoods should be able to petition a local government for the opportunity to manage part of a local service budget.

The issue here is whether neighborhoods could provide the service better or could buy it better than the city is already doing. Our committee heard from the Whittier Alliance (of Minneapolis) about its ideas for taking the money now spent on Whittier Park and using it to design and provide park programs. Perhaps the neighbors would develop a contract with the Park Board or consider other vendors. Perhaps volunteers would take some responsibilities for organizing programs and maintaining the park.

Creating opportunities for such neighborhoodbased initiatives requires some rules or structure; obviously not just anyone can come in to scoop up some money. However, if this option will be useful, then the local government can't be a gatekeeper with all authority for approving or rejecting the neighborhood's proposal. One approach would be for the Legislature to identify ground rules for a viable proposal. • Individuals should be given options for taking funds designated for a certain service and managing a budget where the individual has designed the program and selected the vendor.

An example of this approach is the Dakota County account management program for families of persons with developmental disabilities.

DEVELOP PUBLIC SERVICE ENTERPRISES

One of the most significant ways of expanding choices for elected officials is to reinforce the fundamental distinction between local governments *deciding* and *doing*. We propose to create new opportunities for local governments to shed some of their operating responsibilities and operate as sophisticated buyers of services.

We recommend:

Local governments should be authorized and encouraged to transform their operating bureaus into enterprises.

A local government unit, such as a city or county public works department, could be transformed into a *public service enterprise*. In turn, city councils or county boards could enter into a contract for services with the new entities. In addition, the new enterprise could market its services to other cities, counties or school districts in the area.

Transforming government departments into enterprises builds on examples already in place of governments buying services from each other. For example, the city of Roseville maintains the grounds at the schools in the Roseville district. This is a very good example of how schools could use different approaches to organizing those administrative and support services that are outside their core responsibility of instruction

The purpose of creating this contracting option (or any contracting option) is not to pay less for services but to provide services more effectively. In setting up enterprises, local governments should give them additional flexibility and significant responsibility. Thus, to the extent that civil service systems or procurement requirements

4

RESULTS FOR CITIZENS, OPTIONS FOR OFFICIALS

create obstacles to effective operation, enterprises should be freed from those constraints.

In developing contracts, the local governments should set performance measures and provide incentives for superior results. Our goal is that local governments could choose from several competing enterprises (or other local governments) in carrying out their functions.

There are several interesting examples in Great Britain and the United States of transforming government operations into enterprises. During the 1980s, the Conservative government in Great Britain began to transform large portions of the public service bureaus of government into selfcontained agencies. These new agencies are to be headed by strong executives with broad discretion over staffing and budgets, and are given clear performance targets.

We see several advantages of this approach:

- Creating these enterprises would allow city councils and county boards to shed some of their operating responsibilities and focus their work on policy making. At the same time, they would have a choice of competitive providers.
- Because they have to earn their budget, managers and employees of these enterprises would have stronger incentives to adopt a customer-service orientation.

ALLOW LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO CHOOSE RESULTS-BASED REGULATION

In many service areas, local governments are the final delivery point in a state-local service system. Over the years, a complex system of mandated procedures has been instituted in some of these areas. For example, if a county is providing services to a child with developmental disabilities and severe behavior problems, it will go through a series of planning processes and assemble interdisciplinary teams to develop different individualized plans for the child's special needs.

Because of this focus on *process* -- doing things right, less attention is paid to *results* -- doing the right thing. In cases where local government is carrying out those shared responsibilities (including most mandates), we propose giving those units significant flexibility to craft a new kind of relationship with the state.

We recommend:

Local units of government and state agencies should develop contract relationships that focus on results. Such agreements would give the local unit significant flexibility for how it reaches those goals.

The local unit and the responsible state agency could negotiate a contract (or a series of contracts) which would specify performance standards and expected results. Our recommendation is very similar to the social services contracting proposal included in H.F. 2420, introduced in 1992 by Representative Wayne Simoneau and Senator Don Samuelson. The Legislature passed that bill, an initiative of Anoka County.

Social services provide the clearest example of where results-based regulation might apply. However, there are many other possible examples in areas such as education, licensing and inspection functions. For a variety of service areas, local government should be given a choice. Either they can work under the current regime of administrative rules or a more "entrepreneuriallyfriendly" system based on performance standards and results.

CREATE A "VALUE FOR MONEY" AUDITING FUNCTION FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

The state should fill a gap in its performance auditing capabilities. No office is charged to evaluate the performance of local governments. The State Auditor conducts or supervises financial audits of *local* governments. The Legislative Auditor evaluates programs of *state* agencies and audits their books.

We recommend:

The Legislature should direct the Legislative Auditor or the State Auditor to develop and implement a program of performance auditing of local governments. 6

GOVERNMENT AUDITING RESPONSIBILITIES			
	Local governments	State government	
Financial audits	State Auditor	Legislative Auditor	
Performance/ program evaluation	?	Legislative Auditor	

This office would assemble data produced by local units and develop comparative statistical profiles. These would make "Truth in Taxation" hearings a much more meaningful exercise because citizens would have reliable information comparing their local units with others.

The office would also conduct in-depth studies. For example, one year it might examine how well local governments are operating their hospitals and nursing homes or diversion programs for juveniles. We think that directing an existing office to expand its role into local government performance reviews would provide invaluable assistance to the Legislature, local governments and the citizens of the state.

The Audit Commission of Great Britain provides a model for how a program of performance auditing can be valuable. The Commission's philosophy is to help local units improve "value for money."

Each of our recommendations for redesigning services assumes that citizens and their local officials will have good information about what services are provided, the costs of services and the quality of the outcomes, and are able to compare that information with what is done in other cities or counties. For example: local governments maintain thousands of miles of streets, and their crews patch thousands of potholes each year. Information will be needed to determine when a neighborhood-based proposal to manage street maintenance should be approved, or to help a local government compare proposals from pothole patching enterprises.

We recommend:

The Legislature should direct development and implementation of a performance accounting system to be used by local governments.

REPORT SUMMARY

ŗ

÷

e).

ċ

Soon after a local government performance auditing filiction is launched, we expect that the auditors will find that the information generated by local governments on how they perform their functions is inadequate to make the useful comparisons that we want to see. School districts and counties have long used uniform, multidimensional financial accounting and reporting systems with a standard chart of accounts. They now need to build on to that structure a standard method of measuring spending on inputs (labor, trucks, blacktop, etc.) and linking that information with results (500 square feet of potholes patched) and quality -- the patches lasted six months.

We think that citizens have a basic right to know how public funds are spent, to have measures of the quality of local services and to be able to compare local government performance. When program results are known, the public won't have to wait for sporadic investigative reports in the news media to find out how well treatment programs for sex offenders are working, or whether job training programs are placing clients in wellpaying jobs. Programs that are demonstrated to be effective should have an easier time getting their budget requests approved.

Turning the quality spotlight on local governments means identifying problems but also celebrating successes. We suggest that Minnesota establish an award for local governments that achieve excellence in delivering or arranging local services. Like the Malcolm Baldridge award given for excellent quality in private industry firms, a state award could be a powerfal external incentive for local governments to excel.

In addition, it would be desirable for the state to actively disceminate information about highquality operations. Working with the various associations of local governments, it could establish a clearinghouse for sharing ideas and for providing fectorical assistance to help local governments improve their use of contracting options, develop better outcome measurement and evaluation systems.

 $\Delta_{\rm eff}$

N. 6.,

RECENT CITIZENS LEAGUE REPORTS

These reports are the product of the League's unique program of citizen-based research. To order copies, use the form in this report.

Results for Citizens, Options for Officials	6-16-92
Reform the Electoral Process, Restore the Public Trust	5-28-92
The Party Caucus: An Inquiry	4-5-91
New Regional Approaches to Library Services: Long Overdue	2-25-91
Large Trucks: A Small Piece of A Larger Problem	1-24-91
Remaking the Minnesota Miracle: Facing New Fiscal Realities	10-8-90
Because That's Where the Money Is: Why the Public Sector Lobbies	6-28-90
Does the System Maltreat Children?	4-3-90
Wiring Minnesota: New State Goals for Telecommunications	11-16-89
Losing Lakes: Enjoyment of a Unique Metropolitan Resource is Threatened	11-8-89
Access, Not More Mandates: A New Focus for Minnesota Health Policy	9-21-89
Community: A Resource for the '90s	7-25-89
The Metropolitan Council: Strengthening Its Leadership Role	3-23-89
Building Tomorrow by Helping Today's Kids	12-16-88
Chartered Schools = Choices for Educators + Quality for All Students	11-17-88
Cut Tax Exemptions, Boost Equity and Accountability	10-20-88
Stopping AIDS: An Individual Responsibility	5-09-88
The Public's Courts: Making the Governor's Nominating Process Statutory	1-28-88
Make the Present Airport Better-Make A New Airport Possible	12-17-87
Cooperatively-Managed Schools: Teachers as Partners	8-05-87
The New Weigh to Recycle	5-22-87
First Class Property Tax System	4-27-87
Start Right with "Right Start": A Health Plan for Minnesota's Uninsured	2-24-87
New Destinations for Transit	10-28-86
Commitment to Focus: More of Both	8-27-86
State Civil Service: People Make the Difference	6-12-86
It's Only a Game: A Lottery in Minnesota	2-11-86
AdaptabilityThe New Mission for Vocational Education	1-08-86
A Strategy for the Waterbelt	11-22-85
Power to the Process: Making Minnesota's Legislature Work Better	9-19-85
Accountability for the Development Dollar	6-20-85
Building on Strength: A Competitive Minnesota Economic Strategy	11-28-84
A Larger Vision for Small Scale Agriculture	9-25-84
The Metro Council: Narrowing the Agenda and Raising the Stakes	6-07-84
The Region's Infrastructure: The Problem Isn't What You Think It Is	5-30-84
Meeting the Crisis in Institutional Care: Toward Better Choices, Financing and Results	4-24-84
A Farewell to Welfare	2-07-84
Homegrown Services: The Neighborhood Opportunity	11-03-83
Use Road Revenue for the Roads That Are Used	3-02-83
Workers' Compensation Reform: Get the Employees Back on the Job	12-15-82
Thought Before Action: Understanding and Reforming Minnesota's Fiscal System	10-26-82
The CL in the Mid-80s	9-22-82
Making Better Use of Existing Housing: A Rental Housing Strategy for the 1980s	5-19-82
Rebuilding Education to Make It Work	5-04-82
A Positive Alternative: Redesigning Public Service Delivery	3-24-82
Paying Attention to the Difference in Prices: A Health Care Cost Strategy for the 1980s	9-29-81
Keeping the Waste Out of Waste	5-27-81
Changing Communications: Will the Twin Cities Lead or Follow?	12-17-80
Siting of Major Controversial Facilities	10-22-80
Enlarging Our Capacity to Adapt: Issues of the '80s	8-27-80
Next Steps in the Evolution of Chemical Dependency Care in Minnesota	6-13-80 12-12-79
Linking a Commitment to Desegregation with Choices for Quality Schools	
Initiative and Referendum"NO" for Minnesota	2-28-79
For titles and availability of earlier reports contact the Citizens League office, 338	-0/71

.



These statements update the League's position on key issues. To order copies, use the form in this report.

Health Care Access for All Minnesotans		20-92
Testing Health-Care Workers for the AIDS Virus		11-91
Light Rail Transit: The Regional Transit Board's Proposal to the 1991 Minnesota Legislature	ŀ	24-91
Letter to Legislature from Community Information Committee re:		07 00
Financing at the University of Minnesota		07-89
Statement on Changing the Fiscal Disparities Law		15-88
Statement to the Governor & Legislature on Transportation Financing in 1988		04-88 12-87
Statement to Legislative Commission re: Road Financing		7-7-87
Statement to University of Minnesota Regents re: Commitment to Focus Statement to Governor and Legislature on Innovation and Cost Control		4-8-87
Selection of a New State Commissioner of Transportation		30-86
Letter to Regional Transit Board re: Metro Mobility Price Competition Ideas		-12-86
Testimony to Legislature on Bloomington Stadium Site Bill		20-86
Letter to Regional Transit Board re: Policy Committee's Study of Metro Mobility		2-6-85
Statement to House Tax Subcommittee on Fiscal Disparities		31-85
Statement to Legislature on Preserving Metropolitan Tax-Base Sharing		9-6-85
Statement to Legislature & Metro Council on Bloomington Development Proposal		15-85
Statement to Metropolitan Council on Organized Collection of Solid Waste		24-85
Statement to Metropolitan Council on Long-Term Care		8-8-85
Statement on Transit Alternatives		23-85
Statement on Solid Waste Disposal		21-85
Statement to Tax Study Commission		22-84
Statement on Light Rail Transit		4-6-84
Statement to Legislative Study Committee on Metropolitan Transit		15-83
Statement to Governor's Tax Study Commission		22-83
Statement to Minnesota's Highway Study Commission		29-83
Statement on the Metropolitan Council's Proposed Interim Economic Policies		29-83
Statement to Minneapolis. Charter Commission: Proposal to have Mayor as	8	11-83
non-voting member of Council	-	01 00
Statement to Metropolitan Council & Richard P. Braun, Commissioner of	1	21-83
Transportation on Preferential Treatment in I-35W Expansion	7	-19-83
Statement to Members, Steering Committee on Southwest-University	'	-19-05
Avenue Corridor Study Statement to Commission on the Future of Post-Secondary Education in Minnesota	6	22-83
Statement to the Metropolitan Health Board		20-83
Appeal to the Legislature and the Governor		26-83
Citizens League Opposes Unfunded Shifts to Balance Budget		2-1-82
Longer-Term Spending Issues Which the Governor and Legislature Should Face in 1982	-	18-82
Statement Concerning Alternatives to Solid Waste Flow Control		12-82
Amicus Curiae Brief in Fiscal Disparities Case, filed		17-81
Statement to the Minnesota State Legislature Regarding the Reconstruction Project		14-81
Letter to the Joint Legislative Commission on Metropolitan Governance		13-81
Statement to Metropolitan Health Board on Phase IV Report		1-4-81
Statement to Metropolitan Council on I-35E	9	24-81
Statement to Minneapolis Charter Commission		7-6-81
Letter to Metropolitan Council re CL Recommendations on I-394		23-81
Statement to the Governor and Legislature as They Prepare for a Special Session		26-81
Statement to the Minnesota State Legislature Regarding the		5-8-81
University of Minnesota Hospitals Reconstruction Bill, as amended		
Statement to the Governor and Legislature Concerning Expenditures-	4	-28-81
Taxation for 1981-83. Issued by Tax & Finance Task Force		
		11

For list of earlier statements, contact the League office, 338-0791

ĸ.

,

Now Available: New Research from the Citizens League

Minnesota Homestead Property Tax Review 1992 Minnesota Managed Care Review 1992

Two new research reports from the Citizens League provide useful, objective information about two topics that almost everyone thinks about: property taxes and health care. *Minnesota Homestead Property Tax Review 1992* builds on the annual property tax survey done by the League for the past 26 years. It includes data and trend analysis on residential property taxes in the Twin Cities area and in cities around the state.

Minnesota Managed Care Review 1992 provides valuable information about Minnesota's health coverage marketplace, including health maintenance organizations, preferred provider arrangements, and Blue Cross/Blue Shield. The report, now in its third edition also analyzes key trends in enrollment, self-insurance, and management arrangements and costs. *Minnesota Managed Care Review 1992* is a valuable reference for people who need to keep up with Minnesota's dynamic health care marketplace.

League members can buy either report for \$10.00; the nonmember price is \$15.00. Discounts are available for multiple copy orders. To order your copies, please use the enclosed form or call the League at 612/338-0791.

Do you like your data in large doses?

The computer data sets developed by the League staff in preparing its analyses are also available. The property tax data set includes files of multi-year data on property tax rates, valuations, and calculations of taxes on homes of different values. The managed health care files include data on health plan enrollment, finances, utilization, etc. The sets can be used on your PCs and Macintosh computers. Orders placed by September 15, 1992 get a \$20 discount. Call the League office for details.

Public Affairs Directory 1991-1992 Now Available

The Citizens League Public Affairs Directory is a handy guide to the people and organizations in the public, private, and nonprofit sectors that influence and implement public policy in the state. The 1991-92 edition is now available. Call the League office to order your copies.

School Shopper Help for Parents

THE SCHOOL BOOK: A Comprehensive Guide to Elementary Schools in the Twin Cities

Minnesota parents who are selecting schools now have a concise source of comparative information. *The School Book, A Comprehensive Guide to Elementary Schools in the Twin Cities, a new publication from the Citizens League, is now available.* The book profiles 449 public and private elementary schools in the metropolitan area.

The book features information about each school's curriculum, foreign languages, building and facilities, extracurricular activities, number of students and teachers, class size, use of technology, grading system, parent organizations and communications, and services such as latchkey and breakfast. Each school profile includes a self-description of the school's teaching philosophy and strengths.

The School Book also includes information about what to consider when choosing a school, an explanation of Minnesota's school choice law, an application for the open enrollment program, and a Metropolitan Council map of public schools and districts in the region. You can get a copy of The School Book by calling the Citizens League at 612/338-0791 or by using the enclosed order form. League members can buy the book for \$10.00; the nonmember price is \$12.95.

CITIZENS LEAGUE PUBLICATIONS

PRICE LIST

	MEMBER PRICE	NON-MEMBER PRICE
 CITIZENS LEAGUE RESEAT Minnesota Managed C. Minnesota Homestead Public Affairs Director 1st copy 2 - 10 copies, each 11 copies or more, each 	are Review 1992 Property Tax Review 1	\$15.00 \$12.00 \$9.00
• THE SCHOOL BOOK (Call for discounts on quar	\$10.00 htity orders)	\$12.95
STUDY COMMITTEE REPOR 1st copy 2 - 10 copies, each 11 copies or more, each	RTS FREE \$5.00 \$4.00	\$10.00 \$ 9.00 \$ 8.00

٦

CITIZENS LEAGUE PUBLICATIONS

ORDER COUPON

Quanti	ity	Publication		Cost
	_			\$
	_			\$
	_			\$
		TOTAL AM	OUNT OF ORDER	\$
	Name			
	Address	. <u> </u>		
	City, State,	Zip		
	Phone			
ា	Make check	s payable to Citiz	ens League OR	
	Charge to Account#	🗆 Visa	Master Card	☐ Discover Exp. Date
_	Signature_			

Send Citizens League membership information Mail this form to: Citizens League, 708 South 3rd Street, Suite 500, Minneapolis, MN 55415 Or FAX your credit card orders to 612-337-5919

WHAT THE CITIZENS LEAGUE IS

The Citizens League has been an active and effective public affairs research and education organization in the Twin Cities metropolitan area for 40 years.

Volunteer research committees of League members study policy issues in depth and develop informational reports that propose specific workable solutions to public issues. Recommendations in these reports often become law.

Over the years, League reports have been a reliable source of information for governmental officials, community leaders, and citizens concerned with public policy issues of our area.

The League depends upon the support of individual members and contributions from businesses, foundations, and other organizations throughout the metropolitan area. For membership information, please call 612/338-0791.

OFFICERS 1991-92

President Elizabeth Malkerson Vice President John Brandl Secretary Beverly Propes Treasurer Daniel Peterson

STAFF

Executive Director Lyle Wray Associate Director Allan Baumgarten **Research** Associate Jody Hauer **Finance Director** Philip Jenni Legislative & **Communications Director** Peter Vanderpoel Administrative Staff Dawn Latulippe Joann Latulippe Interim Editor, Minnesota Journal Dana Schroeder

DIRECTORS 1991-92

Judith E. Alnes Peter Bell Ellen Brown John Cairns Carl "Buzz" Cummins David Dobrotka Jim Dorsev Ann Sheldon Duff Kent Eklund Bruce Erickson Robert Erickson Russell Ewald Fred Finch Sara Gavin Jane Gregerson Milda Hedblom

Karen L. Himle Bill Johnstone Bill Kelly Jay Kiedrowski Jean King Stephen Kluz Wendy McDowall Dick Moberg Vivian Jenkins Nelsen David Rodbourne Laurisa Sellers Thomas H. Swain Carol L. Thacher David Ziegenhagen Nancy Zingale

PAST PRESIDENTS

Charles S. Bellows Francis M. Boddy Alan R. Bovce Ronnie Brooks Charles H. Clav Eleanor Colborn Rollin H. Crawford Carl "Buzz" Cummins Waite D. Durfee John F. Finn Richard J. FitzGerald David L. Graven Walter S. Harris, Jr. Peter A. Heegaard James L. Hetland, Jr. Terry Hoffman B. Kristine Johnson Verne C. Johnson Jean King

Stuart W. Leck, Sr. Greer E. Lockhart John W. Mooty Arthur Naftalin **Charles Neerland** Norman L. Newhall, Jr. Wayne H. Olson Leslie C. Park Malcolm G. Pfunder Wayne Popham James R. Pratt Leonard F. Ramberg John A. Rollwagen Charles T. Silverson Archibald Spencer Thomas H. Swain Peter Vanderpoel Frank Walters John W. Windhorst

Citizens League

708 South 3rd Street, Suite 500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415 612/338-0791

MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

		I will join at this level:		
Name	Home Phone	DONOR \$1,000 or more	0	
		SUSTAINING \$500 - 999		
Address		CONTRIBUTING \$75 - 199		
•••••		*FAMILY \$50		
City	State Zip	INDIVIDUAL \$35		
		FULL-TIME STUDENT \$20		
Employer	Work Phone	BUSINESS \$150 Referred by:		
Positi on	FAX #	Membership is tax-deductible	and includes a	
Employer's Address		one-year subscription to the M		1
Send Mail to: D Hon	ne 🗅 Office			
		*Family membership entitles you to	a second Minneso	ta
*Spouse Information		Journal. Please indicate the name an		
Name		recipient.		
Employer				
Position	Work phone			
Work Address		t		
	loin the Citizens League a	nd help make things happen		
	•			linne
IMPACT	sota and believe that good p	ens League means you care about whe ublic policy depends upon an informe search committees that help to shape ponal benefits:	ed citizenry. Les	ague
PUBLICATIONS		issues a year of timely public affairs League's annual property tax survey.	news, analysis a	nd
		Review 1991 Important informatic ta's dynamic health care marketplace.	n and analysis f	òr
		perty Tax Review 1991 The Leagune the Twin Cities area and other Minn		sis of
	• Public Affairs Directory — involved in making and imp	- a handy listing of agencies, organize plementing public policy.	ations and offici	als
	• The School Book a com	prehensive guide to elementary scho	ols in the Twin	Cities.
	• Citizens League reports – are a benefit of membership	full reports and statements on topic p.	s studied — free	e copies
MEETINGS		neetings — every Tuesday from Lab y and business leaders discuss and de		



Public affairs research and education in the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan area •

•

د -•

Citizens League

708 South 3rd Street Suite 500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

.

-

,