



# JOURNAL

A Public Policy Monthly from the Citizens League



## Is it time for the Big Fix?

Health Care Transformation Task Force offers a plan for comprehensive reform

by Maureen K. Reed, M.D.

**D**o Minnesotans dare hope that the time for comprehensive health care reform has arrived? The governor's Health Care Transformation Task Force concludes that the answer is "yes." The time is now.

When the Minnesota Legislature created the Health Care Transformation Task Force in 2007, it boldly demanded that the conundrum of cost, coverage, and quality be resolved. To its credit, the Legislature established goals for our state that no other state has dared imagine, let alone seriously consider. It asked the task force to come up with a proposal to reduce health care costs by 20 percent, to provide all Minnesotans with health insurance, and to improve the quality of health and health care in the state—all by 2011.

Aggressive? Undeniably. Unreasonable? Hardly. Certainly not in the minds of families and businesses teetering on the brink of collapse because of our collective inability to resolve these issues.

After seven months of difficult but rarely contentious discussion, the task force delivered its comprehensive recommendations to Governor Tim Pawlenty in February. In brief, the report calls for five actions:

1. Take a meat ax to the health behaviors that are killing us.
2. Redesign the care delivery system to deliver the best care. Publish the results.
3. Change payment to reward the best care and to control costs.

4. Melt administrative expenses under a bright light.
5. Deliver basic health insurance to all Minnesotans at an affordable price.

The report makes clear that all five actions are necessary—and must occur simultaneously. Health

The Legislature asked the task force to come up with a proposal to reduce health care costs by 20 percent, to provide all Minnesotans with health insurance, and to improve the quality of health and health care in the state—all by 2011.

care reform is not a giant game of "pick-up sticks" in which players try to extract one stick at a time, hoping that the haphazard heap miraculously remains intact. Instead, health care reform is a carefully organized rearrangement and rebuilding of the structure itself. The Legislature is considering the task force recommendations in companion bills, Senate File 3099 and House File 3391.

### Recommendation No. 1: Health

It is no accident that the first task force recommendation addresses population health. Tobacco remains Minnesota's number one killer—by far. Obesity threatens our health and our budgets. Binge drinking and illicit drugs exact a painful societal toll. The report calls for the adoption of specific and aggressive health goals: Slash tobacco use by 50 percent. Increase to 50 percent the number of Minnesotans with a healthy weight. Decrease binge drinking in adults and children. These menaces require both population-wide and individual approaches.

On the "population" side of the ledger, the task force adopted the recommendations of the Minnesota Comprehensive Statewide Health Improvement Plan.

continued on page 4

## INSIDE

2

Connections

3

Viewpoint:  
Re-imagining health and health care policy

6

A look back at our health care policy history

8

Fiscal system reform is overdue

11

Perspectives: Fess up to the failings of the "worst tax"

# CONNECTIONS

Building a League of Citizens



Citizens League members Malcolm McLean and Bright Dornblaser discuss redistricting at the first-ever Citizens League Policy Open House. More than 100 people showed up to learn about and contribute to current and upcoming Citizens League policy work.

## Welcome Sean Skibbie!

The Citizens League is pleased to welcome Sean Skibbie as our new office manager and external relations assistant. Sean maintains daily office functions, serves as assistant to Sean Kershaw, and guides current and prospective members on opportunities to participate in Citizens League activities.



Before joining the Citizens League staff, Sean served as co-chair of the Energy and the Environment Action Group (see Connections, January 2008 for more information on the action group), and worked for Capella University. He lives in North Minneapolis with his wife Vang, their daughter Emma and their dog Millie.

We are delighted to welcome Sean to our team. Be sure to say hello when you see him at an upcoming event!

## Remembering Dudley Ruch

Dudley Ruch, a longtime Citizens League member, passed away on January 24, 2008.

Dud will be greatly missed by everyone at the Citizens League. He was the recipient of the Citizens League 2005 Civic Leadership Award and the founding co-chair of the Standards and Practices Working Group. He also served on several working groups and study committees, including "A New Vision for Saint Paul Schools" and "A Failing Grade for School Completion."

We thank Dud for his tremendous work on behalf of the Citizens League and Minnesota, and offer our condolences to his wife, children, family, and other loved ones.

## New members, recruiters, and volunteers

### New & Rejoining Members

Lisa Cariveau  
Tonya Cook  
Quinn Doheny  
Marie Dotseth  
Roger Green  
Ann Higgins  
Sherri Knuth  
David and Debbi Lantz  
Michaela R. Lindgren  
Jim Mara  
Camille and Anthony Orr  
Lisa Piskor  
Ian Radtke  
Sally and Timothy Sawyer  
Sandy Shackelford  
Shelley Shreffler  
Elizabeth Sorensen  
Wy Spano  
Bradley Wuotila  
Anna Youngerman  
Brian Zelikson

### Firms and Organizations

Arc Greater Twin Cities  
BSwing  
BlasegHansen  
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Minnesota  
Campbell Knutson PA  
Capital City Partnership  
Century College  
City Academy Charter School

Civic Source  
Cretin-Derham Hall  
Dakota County Community Development Agency  
Fredrikson & Byron Foundation  
Greater Metropolitan Housing Corporation  
The Lander Group  
Login, Inc.  
Messerli & Kramer  
Minitex Library Information Network  
Minnesota Chamber of Commerce  
Minnesota Secretary of State  
Minnesota Sesquicentennial Commission  
Minnesota YMCA  
Youth in Government  
PivotPoint  
Roger Meyer Consulting  
St. Paul Harding Senior High School  
St. Paul Linoleum & Carpet Co.  
Standard Heating and Air Conditioning  
Steppingstone Consulting, Inc.  
Target  
Way to Grow  
Youth Frontiers, Inc.

### Recruiters

Kathy Mock  
Christopher Orr  
Jeff Stoebner  
Kelly Weber

### Volunteer

Cal Clark

## Citizen Involvement Survey

For the past 18 months, the Citizens League has been developing and testing new policy-making processes that provide more meaningful opportunities for public participation in decision making and better incorporate the public's knowledge and experiences.

You can help out by taking a short online survey designed to test what we think we're learning.

Go to [www.citizensleague.org](http://www.citizensleague.org) for a link to the survey—take it yourself and pass the link on to your friends!



## Re-imagining health and health care policy

Transforming the body...and the body politic

by Sean Kershaw

Over the past two months a transformation has occurred in my thinking about health care. I went from advocating at the Legislature for the policy recommendations of the Health Care Transformation Task Force (of which I was a member), to spending four weeks dealing with a household plagued by influenza, pneumonia, croup, colds, sinus infections, springtime allergies and, to top it all off, lice. Life as a character from Exodus was interesting...and illuminating.

Maybe it was the quarts of cold medicine. Maybe it was the fever(s). Maybe it was the time off from work, restlessly resting and processing this policy issue. Maybe it was also the process of adding “building civic imagination” (in addition to civic capacity) to the Citizens League’s mission statement in January. But through all of this I learned about the powerful role imagination can play in public policy, and how it might help us move forward on a tough issue like health care reform.

In order to make very real changes in health care policy, Minnesotans need to began to imagine a system of health (not just a system medical services); to imagine that we all have a role in this new system; and to imagine that improving the health of the body politic is essential in making this transformation.

### Imagining more of the same

Our current health care system is unsustainable. If we don’t fix it, the cost of our negligence will swamp the state budget and make our current arguments about transportation funding and budget shortfalls seem laughable. We can’t raise taxes or cut programs enough to deal with our impending health care funding crisis.

The future of education, crime and justice, aging, and the environment—all public policy in Minnesota—is about the future of health care policy.

### Imagining a system of health

I experienced a breakthrough on this issue during our medical facilities study committee in 2006 when I realized that the

The payment system proposed by the task force would move Minnesota to the front of the line in terms of innovation once again.

current payment and care system is primarily about providing medical services, not necessarily about promoting health. It is not the fault of any single player; rather it is the unfortunate evolution of a payment system that no longer works.

Serving on the Transformation Task Force in 2007-08 convinced me that it is possible to increase access to care, improve the quality of care, promote public and individual health *and* reduce the cost of health care. The payment system proposed by the task force (visit our web site for a link) would move Minnesota to the front of the line in terms of innovation once again, and build off previous Citizens League work, including our recent report on medical facilities.

The task force would not have produced these bold recommendations had the Legislature not urged us to think big—to imagine a radically better and less expensive health care system.

### Imagining a role for everyone

Achieving these health care goals requires all of us to imagine the active role that every Minnesotan and every Minnesota institution must play: government, employers, nonprofits, and schools each have a critical role in promoting health and in implementing better health care policy. There is no way the governor and Legislature can “solve” our health care crisis on their own.

As an example, consider what the impact would be if employers did as much to promote a healthy work environment as they do to provide health insurance? Citizens League member Deborah

Anderson has done extensive research that links the quality of workplace culture to employer health care costs. Minnesota-based General Mills is a national leader in promoting healthy behaviors for its employees, and in examining the role that employers play in health care policy.

Our Minnesota Anniversary Project (MAP150) survey showed that individual Minnesotans understand they have a role to play in their own health and health care, and they’re ready to play that part. They also understand that paying for and delivering a better system has to involve government, employers, and citizens working together.

### Imagining a healthier body politic

So what do we need to do to make it politically possible to achieve this outcome, to make what we imagine real? We need to create common ground, and to build the necessary relationships and roles and political and civic skills everywhere (not just in government). This is what we at the Citizens League mean by building civic capacity.

In 2005, the Citizens League took a significant step forward in saying that we as an organization needed to create this civic capacity—not just produce innovative policy recommendations—in order to implement policy reforms. We took an equally important step in 2008 to again modify our mission to recognize that we have to *imagine* better policy outcomes in order to create this capacity.

The problems plaguing our current health care system are not fatal. We can heal ourselves. For me, the next step is imagining that a healthier lifestyle (e.g. more sleep and less stress) might minimize the outbreaks of illnesses that descended upon my house in February. For Minnesota, the prescription requires recognizing that we have the creativity and the capacity (and an impending crisis) needed for us to imagine and achieve new innovations in health policy. ●

Sean Kershaw is the Executive Director of the Citizens League. He can be reached at [skershaw@citizensleague.org](mailto:skershaw@citizensleague.org). You can comment on this Viewpoint at [www.citizensleague.org/blogs/sean](http://www.citizensleague.org/blogs/sean)

This plan recommends those tobacco-control actions that are known to work and those especially effective in children: increasing tobacco health impact fees, funding mass media campaigns, and enforcing access laws. Furthermore, it sets statewide standards for healthy activity and eating, and it asks schools, communities, and workplaces to play their indispensable and unique roles in advancing these initiatives.

On the “individual” side, the task force recommends confidential health risk assessments, differential premiums for people who are tobacco-free and maintain a healthy weight, and requirements for health insurance to cover effective preventive services with little or no cost sharing.

With appropriate funding of the Comprehensive Statewide Health Improvement Plan, task force members believe these goals are attainable. In a recession, a \$57 million annual price tag might seem challenging—until one calculates the net savings. Aside from health itself, the most impressive consequence of tackling population health is the massive return on investment in later years as savings from healthy behaviors compound. As Table 1 indicates, \$1.3 billion annual net savings in 2011 mount to nearly \$3.3 billion annual net savings by 2015.

## Recommendation No. 2: Health care

The Transformation Task Force is convinced that Minnesota already has many of the building blocks necessary to dramatically improve our health care. Care improvement coalitions, evidence-based care guidelines, electronic medical records, and public reporting of care outcomes are hallmarks of Minnesota’s cutting-edge health care landscape. Yet consistently excellent, high-value outcomes elude us.

Expanding evidence-based care, establishing minimum care standards, increasing private financial investment in these innovations, and requiring electronic medical records as a condition of payment are just some of the task force recommendations. Because fundamental care redesign is incomplete without substantially greater patient involvement in care decisions, the task force calls for this as well.

If collaboration has its virtues, so does competition. Significantly expanding the

breadth and depth of comparative outcomes reporting is a core feature of the task force recommendations. Meaningful information on care and cost across a multitude of services should be so readily available that consumers can quickly compare the performance of providers and act accordingly.

## Recommendation No. 3: Health care payment

There are few things more toxic to care and cost improvement than the way we currently pay for health care services. Payment is based almost exclusively on volume—the more services delivered, the greater the payment. There is no significant financial incentive for keeping people healthy, coordinating their care, or producing better health outcomes. A health care reform proposal is credible only if it delivers an anti-toxin that neutralizes poisonous incentives.

The task force proposal squarely confronts this challenge. It rewards those providers who deliver great care at lower cost. It also aligns patient incentives with high-value care. In the report, this new payment method is called Level 3.

In brief, this is how Level 3 works. Let’s say I’m a doctor or administrator in ABC Medical Group. I know my group’s cost structure and capabilities. I also know the performance, capabilities, and cost of the

hospitals and specialists to whom I refer. (This wider group of providers is the “care system” in which I practice.) My knowledge of this care system allows me to determine what it will cost to deliver all the health services that a standardized group of patients will require in a given period. Having calculated this cost, I can now decide the price my care system will charge for delivering these comprehensive services to this standardized group of patients.

My care system brings our price forward, and this price becomes public information. If the cost for delivering all necessary care turns out to be less than my care system’s price, we will realize financial rewards. If the cost is ultimately more than the price, we will not be allowed to charge for the overage.

My care system is only responsible for the conditions and the care that are under our control and influence. The insurance risk of underlying health conditions, socio-economic status, and ethnic background of the patients is adjusted out and is not our responsibility.

What are the consequences of this new payment method? First, because every care system makes its price public, every purchaser can now easily compare prices. Cost competition ensues. The care system with low capital costs and administrative

**Table 1: Potential Health Care Cost Savings**

	2011		2015	
	\$ millions	% of total spending	\$ millions	% of total spending
<b>Base: Projected Spending</b>	\$43,933.8		\$57,400.0	
<b>Potential cost savings:</b>				
Payment reform	\$4,393.4	10.0%	\$5,740.0	10.0%
Prevention and health improvement:				
Overweight/obesity	\$332.0	0.8%	\$1,236.3	2.2%
Smoking	\$841.9	1.9%	\$1,684.3	2.9%
Alcohol and drugs	\$189.6	0.4%	\$417.8	0.7%
Cost of interventions*	(\$57.1)	(0.1%)	(\$57.1)	(0.1%)
	\$1,306.4	3.0%	\$3,281.3	5.7%
Patient shared decision making	\$43.9	0.1%	\$57.4	0.1%
Technology assessment	\$439.3	1.0%	\$746.2	1.3%
Administrative efficiency	\$878.7	2.0%	\$2,468.2	4.3%
<b>Subtotal: cost savings</b>	<b>\$7,061.7</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>\$12,293.1</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
Net cost to cover uninsured**	(\$866.0)	(2.0%)	(\$1,155.0)	(2.0%)
<b>Net savings</b>	<b>\$6,195.7</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>\$11,138.1</b>	<b>19.4%</b>

\*Does not include potential additional costs borne by private and public insurance

\*\*System-wide increase in cost due to increased use of health care services. See Appendix B for information on potential cost to state government.

expenses has a distinct advantage. The all-too-obvious current temptation to build unnecessary capacity disappears.

Second, in this new world there are no contentious, expensive, time-consuming negotiations between providers and health plans. Administrative costs are therefore further reduced.

Third, the provider must maximize care outcomes. Remember recommendation No. 2? Every individual and institutional purchaser has full access to comparative information on care outcomes. Because the only way to achieve great care outcomes is to provide timely, coordinated, patient-centered care, every care system must do just that. Becoming a “medical home” for patients with complex, chronic conditions may be one approach that care systems employ.

While recommendation No. 3 speaks primarily to provider payment, this recommendation also ensures consumer engagement. Giving consumers information is one engagement tool. Another is allowing consumers to financially reap the benefits of choosing a high-quality, low-cost provider. While patients may choose to get care in a more expensive care system, they will pay more for choosing this option.

An important challenge of any new payment method is for a critical mass of purchasers to adopt it. Medicare will likely not accept this new method, so energetic adoption by other purchasers is necessary. Given the expected cost reductions of a payment method that re-aligns incentives, Minnesota’s public and private purchasers will be encouraged to jump on the train.

Although the Level 3 payment method is not completely new, many details must be worked out in advance of the recommended 2012 implementation date. For the interim, the task force creates two temporary payment methods which may be used as a bridge to 2012. Neither is a destination. The first (Level 1) is an important tweak to the current system. It ties the fee-for-service payment to achievement of certain quality outcomes. The second (Level 2) goes a step further. In addition to requiring quality outcomes, it creates a fee-for-service payment for those providers who proactively identify

and coordinate the chronic care needs of their patients and who effectively involve those patients in their own care.

#### Recommendation No. 4: Health care costs

The health measures of recommendation No. 1, the data transparency and quality improvement of recommendation No. 2, and the payment reform of recommendation No. 3 substantially reduce health costs. But achieving the 20 percent cost reduction that the Legislature envisioned requires additional actions. Therefore the task force calls for educating consumers, streamlining governmental regulation, eliminating health plan activities unnecessary in the reformed system, and visible public reporting of administrative costs. Because health

Health care reform is not a giant game of “pick-up sticks” in which players try to extract one stick at a time, hoping that the haphazard heap miraculously remains intact.

care costs are fueled in part by the rapid spread of new therapies whose effectiveness is unknown, the task force also recommends assessment of the comparative effectiveness of new therapies. Health insurance should not pay for new therapies that are not known to be better than current treatments.

#### Recommendation No. 5: Health insurance

A newly created “health insurance exchange” oversees sweeping insurance reform. This reform includes merging the individual and small group markets, guaranteed issue of insurance regardless of health status, and premium differentials based only on age, geography, and health behaviors. A “risk equalization” mechanism guards against risk avoidance by insurers. And to promote fairness, the task force calls for most employers to offer Section 125 plans that allow employees to purchase insurance with pre-tax dollars.

The task force agrees that people making less than 300 percent of the federal poverty guideline should not be expected to spend more than 7 percent of their income on health care. Under this proposal, people at lower incomes receive subsidies to allow them to purchase affordable basic health insurance. Furthermore, all citizens will be mandated to purchase a basic, standardized insurance package. This standardized benefits package includes those services known to be effective and of significant value.

Because the cost of subsidizing care is hefty (see table), the need for effective cost control mechanisms is obvious.

#### Conclusion

Under the best current estimates, this proposal delivers truly impressive net savings. Table 1 estimates that when this proposal is aggressively and fully enacted, the cost of health care is reduced 14 percent by 2011. The Legislature’s goal of 20 percent cost savings is nearly achieved by 2015. The task force believes that this holistic proposal has an excellent chance of delivering what Minnesota requires. The task force is also convinced that extracting and implementing only some of the recommendations may cause the tottering pyramid of pick-up sticks to collapse.

A proposal this comprehensive will certainly invite scrutiny. And well it should. Several health care reform proposals are currently circulating at the Capitol. Citizens should judge each proposal based on its ability to meet the aggressive and necessary goals that the Legislature established in 2007. Any proposal that cannot simultaneously improve health and health care, cut costs by 20 percent, and cover all Minnesotans should be rejected.

The task force has demonstrated that there is indeed a way to resolve the cost-quality-coverage conundrum. Yes. The time has arrived. ●

**Maureen K. Reed, M.D., F.A.C.P., is a board-certified internist and an independent consultant engaged in state health policy and a member of the Citizens League. She was formerly the Medical Director for HealthPartners Health Plan, a Regent of the University of Minnesota, and the Independence Party’s 2006 candidate for lieutenant governor.**



## Citizens League's history on health care policy shares much with current reform efforts

by Linda Stone, Bright Dornblaser, and Bob DeBoer

Following the report of the Citizens League's medical facilities study committee in 2006, the Citizens League proposed legislation calling for the creation of a consumer council to chart a path toward the development of a functional market in medical care. In 2007 we participated in the governor's Health Care Transformation Task Force (see related article on page 1).

State lawmakers are now considering the recommendations of the Transformation Task Force, and, early this year, the Citizens League met to discuss how best to advance our policy on health care. As part

When there is an opportunity to advance existing policy positions at the Citizens League, we put a notice in our free email newsletter. Subscribers meet to discuss how current developments and Citizens League policy intersect. We call these policy advancement groups. The policy advancement group's recent discussion of these areas of congruence led to an important agreement: the need to evaluate Citizens League work from before 2006 to determine its relevance to the Transformation Task Force report. Three Citizens League health care reports and a statement, produced between

earning up to 200 percent of the federal poverty level and based on ability to pay. We recommended that eligibility be phased in starting with children, pregnant women and persons leaving AFDC. Subsequently, the Minnesota Legislature enacted the Children's Health Plan; Minnesota Care was created five years later.

### Access, not more mandates: A new focus for Minnesota health policy (1989)

The Citizens League questioned the value and equity of Minnesota's large number of mandated insurance benefits. We stated that the state's health care priority should be universal access to a basic level of care. We recommended a moratorium on new mandates pending a legislative review and a critical evaluation of existing mandates.

### Health care access for all Minnesotans (1992)

This statement was issued in February 1992, three months before the creation of Minnesota Care. The top conclusion based on our existing body of work was that basic health care benefits should be available to all Minnesotans at a reasonable price, and that all residents not only have a right to basic coverage, but a responsibility to obtain it if it is within their financial capability. Based on work from 1987, the statement outlined the Minnesota Basic Care Plan and the Major Medical Care Plan and called for financing the proposal from the state's income tax so that all citizens shared in the responsibility of providing it, based on ability to pay.

The Citizens League called for cost and quality control and said that "Minnesota cannot afford simply to extend access to the current system with the uncontrolled and rapidly rising costs it produces." We also stated that "universal coverage, however, need not and should not mean a single-payer, government-dominated system" and that "variety and true competition—a mixed system with multiple payers and providers—is the most promising path to quality medical care at reasonable prices."

### Congruence and divergence

Many provisions of the Transformation

Earlier Citizens League work and the Transformation Task Force both conclude that unsustainable growth in the cost of health care is the result of market failure and ineffective regulatory policy.

of that effort we decided to look back at where we have come from. Over the past quarter-century, the Citizens League has produced four study committee reports and one statement on health care.

There are clear areas of congruence between the 2006 medical facilities study committee and the Transformation Task Force recommendations, especially in two areas:

- **Information**—information is necessary to support a functional market in medical care. Without informed consumers, we cannot realize the benefits of lower cost and better value that supply and demand typically provide in a competitive market.
- **Governance**—government, nonprofit organizations, private institutions, and citizens must work together to govern major changes in health care. We cannot rely solely on a regulatory approach and a dysfunctional market. The Citizens League seeks to take this concept even further, defining "governing" as something that can occur anywhere and anytime an individual has authority to make decisions. We all have some decision-making authority in our families, communities, religious organizations, and businesses.

1981 and 1992 and summarized here, provided a fertile ground for comparison and analysis.

### Paying attention to the differences in price (1981)

One fundamental conclusion of this report was that the health care industry was not operating as a rational market. The report recommended controlling health care costs by reforming the market—focusing on the demand side—rather than attempting to regulate supply. It advocated encouraging true competition by making provider prices readily available to the public and revising the system's incentives to reward efficiency rather than consumption.

### Start right with Right Start: A health plan for Minnesota's uninsured (1987)

The Citizens League recognized the lack of available health care coverage for low-income Minnesotans whose incomes were too high to qualify for public assistance and those workers whose employers did not offer health insurance. We recommended that the state create a voluntary health insurance plan for the uninsured

Task Force report are congruent with Citizens League conclusions and recommendations. In trying to determine which elements of the task force report the Citizens League supports, it is important that we look beyond the differences in the framing and scope as we evaluate the overlap between the two.

## Market failure

Earlier Citizens League work and the Transformation Task Force both conclude that unsustainable growth in the cost of health care is the result of market failure and ineffective regulatory policy. Both recommend restructuring the market in a way that allows for true competition and results in high-quality health care at a sustainable cost. Both identify the need for transparent price and quality information and introduce incentives and disincentives as mechanisms for containing costs.

## Price and quality information equal value

Earlier Citizens League work called for providers to set fees and consumers to make choices. The idea of a consumer guide in the early Citizens League work is replaced by the Transformation Task Force's more comprehensive approach, which recommends restructuring the market through meaningful competition. That comprehensive approach calls for prices to be based on the cost of all services related to a medical condition, not individual services. Providers are accountable for quality, the coordination of care, and the total cost of care. Consumers will be able to more accurately compare providers because there will be no cost shifting to insurers or to other payers. It will also reduce administrative costs by eliminating multiple fee schedules and negotiations between providers and payers on every contract.

## Total cost of care

Total cost of care is a new concept for Minnesota cost-control legislation. The Transformation Task Force argues that competition on price and quality alone would help to reduce the costs of individual services, but it would not go far enough to reduce overuse of unnecessary medical

## Government's role in Transformation Task Force recommendations

**A new private, non-profit, and publicly accountable Health Care Transformation Organization (HCTO) should be established to plan, coordinate, and report on implementation of all of the recommended transformations.**

- Governor and legislature appoint the HCTO board.
- HCTO designates Health Care Value Reporting Organization to report on quality, including outcomes, processes of care, and patient satisfaction.
- HCTO implements and evaluates the payment system reforms that call for pricing, transparency, pricing for "baskets" of services, and accountability for new total cost of care.
- HCTO reports progress towards containing health care cost growth and improving quality.
- HCTO makes action recommendations to governor and legislature about adjustments.

**Defines affordability of health insurance coverage and provide subsidies (guidelines).**

**The Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) acts as a collaborative, non-regulatory body to review the evidence for new technologies and determine whether they should be covered by health insurance.**

**Nonprofit health insurance exchange with public oversight:**

- Provides technical assistance to small employers in establishing and operating Section 125 plans.
- Serves as a convenient source of standardized information to consumers comparing the cost and quality of different health insurance products.
- Can purchase in or outside of the exchange as long as price is same.

**Establish an independent board (contracts with ICSI) to define an essential benefit set that:**

- Includes necessary, evidence based care.
- Excludes care that has been demonstrated as being ineffective.
- Covers other services that produce good outcomes at a reasonable cost.

services. Holding providers accountable for the total cost of care provides an incentive not only to reduce the unit cost of each service but also to reduce overuse.

While early Citizens League work focused primarily on the impact of providing health care consumers with better price and quality information, even then, study committee members recognized that a broader approach would be needed. In 1981, a study committee wrote:

"Comparisons that focus on the health patterns of representative populations, rather than solely on the cost effectiveness of discrete services, will permit consumers to evaluate the overall effectiveness of various providers and will provide incentives to those providers to encourage healthy lifestyles among their patients."

The Transformation Task Force has captured that broader approach with its

recommendation for a total cost of care approach to pricing medical care in Minnesota.

## Employers, insurers, and providers

The Citizens League has historically recognized that employers and insurance plans are central to market competition in health care. We called for employers to offer employees a choice among several insurance plans with varying levels of coverage. We wanted buyers (insurers, businesses, and government) to set reimbursement caps for various medical services and to notify consumers in advance of how much they would pay for a given medical condition.

This ideal of consumer choice led the Citizens League to oppose what is called "community rating" in earlier Citizens League health care work. We wanted the

[continued on page 10](#)



# The time is now for reform of our state and local fiscal systems

## The property tax, a dysfunctional relic of a bygone era, needs an extreme makeover

by John P. James

Minnesota's state/local fiscal system—the web of taxes, fees and state-funded local government aid programs that fund government—no longer functions as well as Minnesotans have come to expect. The evidence is everywhere: yet another in the series of state budget deficits that began in 2002; funding crises in school district after school district; reduced hours for the courts due to lack of funds; the 35W bridge collapse and other substandard bridges. The bad news goes on and on.

Leaders at the state Capitol are beginning to respond. The transportation funding bill recently passed over the governor's veto is a down payment on transportation problems built up over 20 years. But much remains to be done. Studies are ongoing on climate change, health care, education, and even the fiscal system. The State Budget Trends Study Commission has begun work and the 21st Century Tax Reform Commission soon will.

The fiscal system seldom gets systematic attention because it is so complex. But people are in no mood for tax increases, so with government's job no longer getting done it is time for a systematic look at how changes in the state/local fiscal system can help. The tax system needs change—a mix of cuts, increases and other changes—but so does the revenue distribution system. Here are some ideas on both.

### Tax system building blocks

The following tax system changes could update Minnesota's fiscal system to the 21st century, the global economy and the global climate change crisis in which we live.

**Property tax:** Give the property tax, a dysfunctional relic of a bygone era, an extreme makeover—both on how property is taxed and how government uses the money.

On taxing property, state and local governments might save \$50 million or more per year by changing the property tax base from value to area. No more annual valuations. Instead of being taxed on the value of your property, you'd be taxed on its area. Farms need not be hurt because they could be largely exempted from property tax, and taxed when the money comes in.

The class system of taxing different property types at different rates could end. Homes and businesses could be taxed based on the square feet of buildings and

land they occupy. Homeowners would be protected by reducing the property tax and increasing the property tax refund program.

The property tax system could recognize that land is not only an economic commodity, but also part of the foundation of the ecosystem. This could make the property tax a friend of the environment instead of the foe it presently is. Exemptions and penalties could vary with environmental impacts.

The state property taxes on cabins and business property could be repealed. There is no reason to require cabin owners to fund the state budget. And Minnesota should stop penalizing business production in Minnesota.

Cities could rely more on property tax revenue, counties less except for roads,

production in Minnesota less, and tax exploitation of Minnesota markets (especially by out of state firms) more effectively. Taxing production in Minnesota less would encourage businesses to locate and expand in Minnesota. This could be done by taxing business property at the same rate as homes, and broadening the sales tax exemption for capital equipment purchases.

To make up for the revenue lost as a result of these changes, impose a new business activities tax, either reform the corporate income tax or repeal it, and end tax giveaways: repeal the JOBZ program and TIF and property tax abatements because they are unfair to competing businesses, an inefficient use of public resources, and

End the greatest absurdity of Minnesota's tax system: forcing school boards to ask voters to approve increases in the hated, outdated property tax every time they need money.

and schools not at all except perhaps for buildings. Townships could rely on both the property tax and farm taxation based on actual revenue received. Property tax revenue could be used more for transportation infrastructure and less for human services.

**Sales tax:** Broaden the state sales tax base, cut the rate, and end local sales taxes. The state rate of 6.5 percent should be cut to 5 percent or less, to minimize its impact on decisions whether to purchase. The base, designed for a goods-oriented economy, also needs extreme makeover. Tax clothing. Tax consumer services. Tax food and health care, and repeal the health care provider tax.

**Individual income tax:** Increase reliance on and the progressivity of the individual income tax. Add a refundable income tax credit for sales tax paid by low income people so they are not hurt by expansion of the sales tax base. Consider local individual income taxes for schools to avoid property tax problems. The local income taxes could be part of the state income tax form.

**Business taxes:** Reform business taxation to make Minnesota more competitive and encourage care for the environment. Tax

unnecessary after reducing the business property tax burden.

The business activities tax would be a low percentage (e.g., 2%) of gross margin (sales less cost of sales) apportioned based on the percentage of sales to Minnesota customers. The business activities tax would enable Minnesota to tax businesses that exploit the Minnesota market, whether located in Minnesota or out of state, and tax less heavily those businesses that produce in Minnesota for export outside the state. This would improve Minnesota's competitive position.

The corporate income tax could be repealed if the business activities tax rate is high enough, or reformed to have a lower business activities tax. Reform could include setting the highest rate no higher than the highest individual rate, to equalize taxation between different forms of business and end the perception that Minnesota discriminates against businesses. Reform could also stop businesses from pretending that they earn far less in Minnesota than they really do, a major issue, and apportion income realistically among states using the



relative presence in Minnesota based on the three factors of sales, property, and payroll apportionment.

**Education taxes:** Take education funding, except perhaps for buildings, off the property tax. Use a local individual income tax for extra local operating funding. End the greatest absurdity of Minnesota's tax system: forcing school boards to ask voters to approve increases in the hated, outdated property tax every time they need money. Consider referenda for income tax imposition, or allow school boards the latitude other local governments have to tax without referenda.

**Environmental taxes:** Use taxation to create environmental incentives for businesses and people. A higher gas tax and/or a carbon tax and higher license tabs on fuel-inefficient vehicles could help fund transportation. Higher taxation of farms that pollute heavily could improve water quality. Business taxation could emphasize taxation of pollution, not production. The property tax, currently an enemy of the environment, could become a friend.

## Who pays for what and how?

The goals of state/local fiscal system reform are to adequately fund both transportation and education, systematically address environmental concerns, make Minnesota a more competitive business location, eliminate fiscal system incentives that encourage communities to segregate based on wealth (and race), facilitate health care reform, encourage best practices and governmental efficiency, and make the fiscal system substantially fairer toward disadvantaged Minnesotans and disadvantaged communities.

Here are the mechanics that could produce these results.

First, cities could rely more on the property tax. The legislature should decide how much property tax burden individuals can fairly bear based on income, and apply this standard statewide through the existing property tax refund program which gives renters and homeowners refunds if their property taxes are too high for their incomes.

Second, the incentive to live or locate businesses outside cities to get lower property taxes could be eliminated through

an Urban Development Encourager that sets property tax rates as high or higher outside of cities as within. The legislature

These changes, plus improvements in the state budget reserve and flexibility for local officials in meeting state mandates,

A generation ago, Minnesota's legislature and governors changed the state/local fiscal system dramatically, creating the "Minnesota Miracle." Now, the legislature and Governor Tim Pawlenty could renew the Minnesota Miracle by reconstructing the fiscal system, applying these principles to fit 21st century reality.

would decide whether taxes should be neutral between cities and unincorporated areas, or higher in the latter to preserve open space and encourage development in cities. County boards would decide whether property taxes from the Urban Development Encourager are dedicated to environmental purposes or used for general county purposes.

Third, Minnesota counties spend about \$1 billion per year more on human service costs than the federal and state grants for such programs. Reducing this number is key to fixing Minnesota's fiscal system. This could be done by having the state fund more of such costs on a per client basis (X dollars per person on probation, for example). The state need not cover all the costs; local governments should be left with enough financial cost to encourage efficiency.

Fourth, repeal local sales taxes, but provide cities with a set percentage of the sales tax and business activities tax collected within their borders, up to a per capita maximum set by the legislature.

Fifth, get the Metropolitan Council out of the property tax business. Instead, have the council bill cities and townships for its services based on a combination of population and area.

Sixth, get school districts out of the property tax business, too, perhaps excepting capital projects, and use a local individual income tax for discretionary spending. Allow school boards to make the taxing decision. Uncertainty over the amount of tax collected could be minimized through state equalization.

could change the interactions between Minnesota's state and local governments to encourage best practices and stretch Minnesotans' tax dollars.

## Enhancing Minnesotans' quality of life

These proposed fiscal system reforms would address Minnesota's financial problems in ways that are fiscally, environmentally, and socially prudent. They are founded on the following principles:

- Accept reality: Land is not only an economic commodity, but also part of the foundation of the ecosystem; this requires a paradigm shift for the property tax, which operates in denial of this reality.
- Governmental subsidies of bad behaviors and bad results should be eliminated.
- Incentives are often a more effective, and cheaper, way of changing behavior than regulation.
- Competition leads to improvement through higher quality and/or lower cost, and Minnesota's fiscal system therefore should encourage best practices competition among local governments.
- Actions have consequences, and causing environmental degradation or failing to tend to one's own health should have adverse fiscal consequences for businesses and individuals.
- The fiscal system should be fair, reliable, understandable, efficient, and competitive in structure and in operation.

continued on page 10

## Fiscal systems

continued from page 9

These principles, deployed by creative Minnesota minds focused on the fiscal system, could lead to improvements and additions to the reforms suggested here.

A generation ago, Minnesota's legislature and governors changed the state/local fiscal system dramatically, creating the "Minnesota Miracle". Now, the legislature and Governor Tim Pawlenty could renew the Minnesota Miracle by reconstructing

the fiscal system, applying these principles to fit 21st century reality.

Conventional wisdom says big change is politically impossible. President Ronald Reagan, when talking about the Tax Reform Act of 1986, said: "There are three stages of reaction to a new idea like our tax proposal. The first stage is: 'It's crazy. It'll never work. Don't waste my time.' The second: 'It's possible, but it's not worth

doing.' And finally: 'I've always said it was a good idea. I'm glad I thought of it.'"

I say, Minnesotans did it before, and we can do it again. ●

**John P. James is an attorney with extensive experience in taxation and in creating fiscal system reform proposals and a member of the Citizens League. He was Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue from 1987-91 and has been actively involved in Minnesota fiscal system issues ever since.**

## Health care history

continued from page 7

maximum in consumer choice, but the basic idea behind insurance—before we started adjusting risk for so many variables—was that everyone would pay a similar amount for a similar amount of coverage. The total of what everyone paid needed to be enough to provide the coverage to the "community" or the rates would need to increase. This is the essence of community rating.

The Transformation Task Force proposes the framework for true competition among health care providers based on value, therefore some of the specific measures that the Citizens League called for from employers and insurers in earlier work may not apply to the task force's approach. The task force call for a modified community rating and the basis for our earlier rejection needs to be reevaluated in this new context.

### Access

The task force calls for universal access to high-quality health care at a sustainable cost. Insurers who offer individual health insurance policies would be required to sell a policy to anyone, regardless of their age or health status. The Citizens League has long supported universal access to health care and produced the foundational work for the Children's Health Plan and Minnesota Care.

In its 1989 report, the Citizens League argued that access to basic health care should be available and attainable. The report recommended the legislature direct new state funds to provide health insurance to the uninsured with a plan that provided a basic set of benefits. Until such a basic health plan permitted universal access to health care, the Citizens League argued

there should be no new mandates for benefits. Once access to health insurance was guaranteed, individuals should be required to enroll in a health insurance plan. Any mandated benefits should then define the level of care in the public interest, spread the financial risk, and support a basic level of required care.

Unlike this staged approach, the Transformation Task Force recommends simultaneously providing access and mandating coverage.

### The role of government

The Citizens League has consistently called for government to function in a quasi-public role: to establish the rules of the health care market allowing consumers to reap the benefits of true competition.

The Citizens League called for a system of competition and regulation in 1981. The task force proposal evolves beyond this with a call for a restructured system of collaboration, coordination, and integration throughout the full cycle of health care. The task force assumes that cost controls—a more regulatory approach recommended in earlier Citizens League reports—will not be needed as it shifts basic accountability for cost and quality from employers to providers and health insurance companies.

The Transformation Task Force calls for government to decide on strategy and implement new regulation; support population health improvement programs; support and participate in community-wide processes to develop evidence-based guidelines for care; create greater price and quality transparency; and, introduce and support incentives to restructure market according to goals (see sidebar p.8).

### Continued advancement

The Citizens League will continue to push for a functioning market in medical care. We believe that the measures in the Transformation Task Force report support and extend the Citizens League work, and, if implemented, the recommendations will do much of what is necessary to provide the right kind of informed medical care marketplace. The Citizens League also supports a governance structure that acknowledges the role that government must fill, but goes well beyond government in roles and responsibilities. We believe that the task force work also provides the framework to do that. It is clear that the rich health care policy history of the Citizens League provides us with the gateway to continue to contribute in developing comprehensive health care reform and the Citizens League will work toward that end.

For a more detailed comparison of the Citizens League and the Transformation Task Force health care positions, visit the Citizens League website under the "Policy Advancement" header.

The Citizens League will convene additional policy advancement meetings on health care in the coming weeks to determine more specifically the degree to which we support current reform efforts. ●

**Linda Stone is a member of the Citizens League and has practiced immigration law for the last 18 years.**

**Bright Dornblaser is Emeritus Professor with the University of Minnesota Division of Health Policy and Management, the former director of the U's Health Care Management Program and a member of the Citizens League.**

**Bob DeBoer is the Citizens League's Director of Policy Development.**

# PERSPECTIVES

Expanding Minnesota's Conversation



## Fess up to the failings of the “worst tax in the civilized world”

Unfair and out of date, Minnesota's property tax system needs reform

by Marlowe Hamerston

**E**dwin Seligman, an economist, author, and expert on taxation, characterized the property tax as “the worst tax in the civilized world.” Seligman published his opinion in 1895, and 113 years later the shortcomings of this tax are well known. Action to bring fairness to property taxation is long overdue. The property tax is based on an antiquated notion of wealth, a false assumption of uniformity, and inaccurate assessments.

less, on average pay 2.73 percent of their income to support local government. Those earning over \$700,501 contribute 0.41 percent of their income for the same purpose. The Minnesota Senior Federation surveyed its members and found that many pay 20 percent and more of their fixed income in property taxes. How can taxation that ranges from 0.41 percent to 2.73 percent to 20 percent be considered uniform? The uniformity requirement of our constitution is not being met and that should be a concern for all of us.

Fairness in our property tax system is based on the premise that the assessor will produce an accurate estimate of a property's market value, which would translate to a fair property tax. If the assessor's market value estimate is not accurate, the premise upon which our whole property

tax system is based collapses.

If proof of the collapse is needed, the Minnesota Department of Revenue provided it with their study of 70,013 residential property sales in 2006. The study looked at the sales ratio of each transaction. The sales ratio is obtained by dividing the assessor's market value estimate by the actual sales price of the property. For example, if the assessor's market value on a home was \$70,000 and it sold for \$100,000 the sales ratio would be 0.70. If the assessor placed the market value at \$150,000 and it sold for \$100,000 the sales ratio would be 1.50.

The study allowed any sales ratio between 0.90 and 1.05—to be considered accurate. The study found that statewide 51 percent of the sales ratios were outside the acceptable range of accuracy. The assessor's estimate was in error more than half the time.

If we use a range of acceptable error between 0.95 and 1.05 (10%)—the same error range granted in a high school science

experiment—the assessor's accuracy drops to 27 percent. Minnesota assessors have a record of missing the accurate market value 73 percent of the time. How many of us would choose a surgeon whose record of successfully performing an operation was 27 percent and whose professional competence failed 73 percent of the time? Why continue a taxation system that exhibits such a horrendous failure rate in determining how much of a person's income is to be taken by the property tax?

The problems with the property tax are directly attributable to the fact that it has no limit in its ability to tax. The property tax is an open-ended tax allowing government to tax the citizens of Minnesota out of their homes. The income tax has a percentage limit on what it can take from a person's income. The sales tax also has a percentage limit. The gasoline tax is a fixed tax per gallon of gasoline sold. The property tax has no such protection for those paying this tax. There is no limit.

The solution to our property tax problem is simple: Create a reasonable limit to what the property tax can take from Minnesota property owners. A limit to the property tax would make the property tax fair by relating it to ability to pay.

Minnesota needs someone to drag those mired in the past into the 21st century. Someone who can recognize what is fair and what is not. We need someone who believes the requirements of our constitution must be met. That someone is you. Only when you demand your governor and legislator act to correct the harm done to the citizens of Minnesota by the “worst tax in the civilized world” will anything happen. Call, write, and demand action to establish a limit to the property tax. Unlimited taxation in Minnesota must stop! ●

**Marlowe Hamerston is Chairman of the Minnesota Senior Federation Tax Committee and a member of the Citizens League. He taught physics and mathematics in Columbia Heights for 33 years.**

While property was once a measure of wealth, in modern society income is the true measure of wealth. Bill Gates is not the wealthiest man in the world because of the property he owns.

We have a system that assumes property ownership is a measure of one's wealth. Even the assessor's union, the International Association of Assessing Officers, doesn't buy that. They say that while property was once a measure of wealth, in modern society income is the true measure of wealth. Bill Gates is not the wealthiest man in the world because of the property he owns. It is his income that gives him this distinction. When Minnesota became a state in 1858 the more land you owned, the more crops you could raise, the more income you could earn. Land ownership was a true measure of wealth—but only because of the land's ability to earn income. In today's society a home provides necessary shelter for the family, not income.

Article X Section 1 of our Constitution states that: “Taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of subjects ...”

The Minnesota Department of Revenue's “2007 Tax Incidence Study” shows how far from this constitutional mandate we have strayed. Minnesotans earning \$32,471 or

# 5/5

## Advance Citizens League Transportation Policy

7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m.

Mind-Opener Breakfast, Location TBA

9 a.m. – 1 p.m.

Minneapolis Central Library, Pohlad Hall

Join us in a policy forum to discuss opportunities to advance transportation choices and transparent funding options in light of the 2008 transportation bill and other developments.

Featured Guest: **Tyler D. Duvall**, Undersecretary for Transportation Policy, U.S. Department of Transportation.

Find more information at [www.citizensleague.org](http://www.citizensleague.org)

PERIODICALS



555 North Wabasha Street, Suite 240  
St. Paul, MN 55102

**The Minnesota Journal**  
Publisher – Sean Kershaw  
Editor – J. Trout Lowen  
Managing Editors – Bob DeBoer and Victoria Ford

The Minnesota Journal (ISSN 0741-9449) is a publication of the Citizens League, a nonprofit nonpartisan Twin Cities public affairs organization, 555 North Wabasha St., Suite 240, St. Paul, MN 55102. Phone: (651) 293-0575. Fax: (651) 293-0576. E-mail: [info@citizensleague.org](mailto:info@citizensleague.org). Website: [www.citizensleague.org](http://www.citizensleague.org). Kathy Mock, chair. Articles and commentary are drawn from a broad range of perspectives and do not necessarily reflect Citizens League positions on policy questions. The Journal is published 11 times a year. Periodicals postage paid at St. Paul, MN and additional offices.

Annual subscription rate for nonmembers is \$40 for 11 issues. Orders may be placed at (651) 293-0575 or by mail at the above address.

Postmaster: Send address changes to the Minnesota Journal, 555 North Wabasha St., Suite 240, St. Paul, MN 55102.